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* * * * *

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE YIP-KIKUGAWA:

We are on the record.

The Commission will come to order.

This is the time and place set by the Commission for public participation hearings in Applications 11-03-014, 11-03-015, and 11-07-020 addressing cost and cost allocation issues related to providing an option for gas and electric residential utility customers who do not wish to have a wired smart meter installed at their location.

Good afternoon, everyone. I am Administrative Law Judge Amy Yip-Kikugawa. The assigned Commissioner to this proceeding is President Michael Peevey.

Public participation is exactly what the name implies. It is an opportunity for me to hear from all of you and for the Commissions to receive public comment from ratepayers. Therefore, if you wish to speak, you should have signed up with the Public Advisor's Office in the back, and if you have not done so, please do so now.

And before we begin I do want to go over a couple of ground rules. First, this
meeting is being reported. I have a court reporter over here seated to my right. He is going to be taking down every word that is said during public comment. He would also be taking down anything that's said if people are commenting in the audience. So please, as a courtesy to the person who is making comment and having their opportunity to present their opinions and views, please refrain from shouting out or making any comments during the others' time to speak. You will each have an opportunity. I'm planning to hear from everyone. We've gotten 70 people signed up. I am planning to have everyone who wishes to speak have the opportunity to do so.

This hearing is going to be about two hours long. Given the number of speakers, we are limiting the amount of time for public comment to two minutes per person. There is a timekeeper over here. She will be letting you know when you have one minute and also when time is up. So please, as a courtesy to others, try to stay within your two-minute time period.

I also have here somebody who is videotaping. That is not the official record. The official record is the
transcript that is being prepared by the court reporter. Now, I do want to make that very clear. If you say something that has been said, if it is not in the reporter's transcript, it is not part of the record of this proceeding.

Since this is an opportunity for public comment, there is no question and answers. I'm not here to answer questions. PG&E is here, but they are not going to be answering questions during this hearing. It is just to hear comment from all of you.

Okay. So what I would like to do is just do a brief description of this proceeding and what is happening. Okay. As many of you know, earlier this year the Commission voted out three decisions. And all three decisions directed San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company to modify their advanced metering infrastructure programs to include an option for anybody who did not wish to have a wired smart meter to opt out. It also established interim fees.

The decisions further set up a Phase 2 of this proceeding, and that is where we are right now. Phase 2 which will be looking
at what costs should be allowed to be
recovered by the utilities and also how those
costs will be recovered in terms of payment
by residential ratepayers. So what we have
had so far is the three utilities who have
submitted their cost information as part of
Phase 2. Southern California Gas Company is
also a party in this Phase 2 proceeding.
They have a separate application out with the
Commission to also provide for an opt-out
option. That application has not yet been
acted on, but in the meantime SoCalGas is a
party in this proceeding.

We have conducted evidentiary
hearings in this proceeding already, and
those were in November. And during those
hearings the utilities and intervenors
including EMF Safety Network, our
Commission's Division of Ratepayer Advocates,
Aglet Consumer Alliance, and many others, who
are organizations, they have all had an
opportunity to present testimony on how they
feel the costs should be allocated and what
costs should be recovered by the utilities.
And the hearings, now that they have now
concluded, we are in the process of right now
having parties provide briefs.

Okay. Along with the briefing part
of the record is the input from ratepayers and the public, and that is where we are now. These will all be considered as I go to write a decision, and I will have a proposed decision most likely out in the spring. That decision will recommend a certain outcome based on the evidence that I have received.

The Commissioners may either adopt that recommendation, or if one of them wishes a different outcome, they can write what is called a proposed alternate decision. Every decision that does get voted out by the Commission is the final say of the Commission. Okay.

So before we begin I'd like to make just a few quick introductions. We have from our Public Advisor's Office Judy Cooper, and Ms. Cooper, if you have any questions on the Public Advisor's Office and how to participate in the proceeding, she is the one who can provide that assistance. She's here, and she'll have a couple of words to say as well.

MS. COOPER: Thank you every one for coming today. Excuse my voice. We are a staff of three here today from the Public Advisor's Office. Claudia Portillo is sitting up front, and Latoya Brown is in the
back. I'm Judy Cooper, and we're from the Public Advisor's Office in San Francisco, Public Utilities Commission. So if anybody is interested in participating in this proceeding in any way or any formal proceeding at the Commission, that's what the PUC Public Advisor Office does is facilitate and assist people to participate in the process. So whether it's by comments or seeking party status, you can always contact the PUC.

We have business cards at the front of the table, and we'll be more than happy to discuss anything that you may need to know regarding the PUC process. Thank you again for coming today.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay. And the other person I would like to introduce is Ms. Samson. Ms. Samson, she's standing up right over here to my right. She is the regulatory affairs person from Pacific Gas and Electric Company. If you have any questions about the opt-out program or any questions on how to sign up, either Ms. Samson can help you or she may direct you to the back. There are customer service representatives from PG&E here to help you with that information.

Okay. So without any further ado,
start with the public comment period. When I do call your name, I ask that you come up and speak again slowly into the microphone. And we'll start now.

Okay. First is Susan Upchurch followed by Larry Bragman.

**STATEMENT OF MS. UPCHURCH**

MS. UPCHURCH: Honorable Judge Yip-Kikugawa, thank you for coming to Sonoma County to hear the concerns of our citizens regarding the PG&E SmartMeter program. I'm here today to represent Sonoma County Supervisor Efren Carillo, who is unable to attend. Supervisor Carillo has written to the CPUC six three times over the past few years on the smart meter issue. I have submitted copies of his letters for the record.

As to today's hearing on PG&E's SmartMeter program, I would like to reiterate Supervisor Carillo's opposition to the tariff that PG&E has been allowed to charge those who choose to opt out of the SmartMeter service. Individuals, businesses and communities should be allowed to choose freely whether or not to implement this technology. Requiring payments from those who opt out has no financial basis and is
discriminatory. For this reason, Supervisor Carillo is opposed to the tariff. He would urge you to allow communities and businesses the option to opt out of SmartMeter service as well. Thank you for your time.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Bragman followed by Michael Kyes.

STATEMENT OF MR. BRAGMAN

MR. BRAGMAN: Good afternoon, Judge.

Larry Bragman. I'm from the Fairfax Town Council. Fairfax passed the first smart meter moratorium about two years ago, two and a half years ago, and after passing the ordinance we probably had three or four public hearings with dozens, really scores of witnesses that came to our chambers and testified about health, privacy, and security concerns related to smart meters.

My impression from those hearings was the overwhelming momentum to have a moratorium was from health concerns. We know from the CPUC's own study which they published about 15 years ago there's 3 percent of the population that's EMF sensitive. In addition to that cohort of ratepayers there is a large group of folks in our communities that have immune system problems, whether they're receiving
chemotherapy, other therapies that don't want this type of exposure. In addition, there's privacy concerns and security concerns.

As you know, CPUC Code 453 prohibits a charge based on a medical condition. So Fairfax, Marin County, and those communities that are now before you are asking that you not impose a tariff to opt out, that you allow our communities to opt out for an effective safety program for our residents. And we think that if you allow a community opt out, you're going to drive the type of innovative solutions to create a more secure and safe electrical grid for California.

So thank you very much.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Michael Kyes followed by Sarah Gurney.

STATEMENT OF MR. KYES

MR. KYES: Good afternoon. I'm Michael Kyes, Mayor of the City of Sebastopol. Many of our citizens have significant concerns over the health, safety, and cost-effectiveness of smart meters. The City has previously sent at least two letters that have a resolution urging the PUC to let people opt out in a reasonable manner and
consider an entire city to opt out. That I think the deal here, a number of our citizens, for one thing, later this afternoon discuss the health and safety issues. My concern is the cost-effectiveness and the actual need for smart meters. And rather than address commercial need, which there may actually be a practical purpose for commercial in that you could do hourly pricing, residential really has no benefit. That the meters are supposed to help you save energy. They're not real-time meters. They are after-the-fact meters that you can look the next day and see how much energy you used the day before.

One other concept of smart meters and peak daily pricing is being able to reduce air conditioning use by alternating time segments for air conditioning. Residents in Sebastopol don't have air conditioning. It provides them no benefit. The costs, the logic of why you install a 500 or $800 meter for free and charge a consumer -- can I have 30 more seconds -- a consumer $75 for no additional service, really, it defies logic.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. Sarah
STATEMENT OF MS. GURNEY

MS. GURNEY: Thank you, your Honor, for the opportunity to speak today. Sarah Gurney from the City Council, City of Sebastopol. I want to make sure you know my background. I am an 8-year veteran of our council having been appointed to office to fill a vacancy, then reelected without any opposition, and reelected another time as the highest vote getter. I don't mention that for the purpose of bragging but to make sure you understand the credibility that I bring to my community. I hold the heart of my community as my most sacred duty as an elected. And I want you to know that we are very proudly the home of the founding civic leader, Betty Maurer, who started the EMF Safety Network. And I congratulate her for her work.

It's very important that you know as an elected I have encountered people who want to opt out of the program. I also have encountered people who want others to be able to opt out of the program for smart meters although maybe they want a smart meter themselves. And I've had nobody communicate to me saying they were insistent that they wanted a smart meter. Our city believes that
people should have the choice to opt out and that they should be able to do so without financial consequence.

I am the author of a letter sent to the PUC dated February 17th, 2010, when our community held a public forum to allow PG&E to discuss their program, and we noted several concerns. Our request to the CPUC was first to allow the deferral of the installation, and secondly, to allow customers the choice to opt out. That remains my position.

And I would also like to relate to you that our Vice Mayor Robert Jacob is unable to be here today due to his business commitments, and he wanted for me to relate to you that he agrees as I do with our Mayor and the positions that the three of us are taking as city council members.

Please give our community an opportunity to opt out and to do that at no charge. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. Carolyn Lencert followed by Ginny Lee.

STATEMENT OF MS. LENCERT

MS. LENCERT: Good afternoon. My name is Carolyn Lencert. And I'm proud to be here
today. Since the world ends tomorrow, this is a marvelous opportunity. I represent the public good in the entire zip code 94903. Our website is 94903 community.org. So that's 37 homeowner associations. And we are supporters of smart and safe grid.

I want to go first to the Bagley-Keene Act, which reminds us all that the CPUC works for us and not the other way around. So on that setting --

(Applause)

MS. LENCERT: That is a measure of democracy. That is the law. And we want to respect it. So my main case here is one of democracy. Now I'll return to that. I know that your charge is somewhat more limited. So I'm here to say yes to more options, and one of the options is no smart meter. We want community opt-out on a larger scale as one of the options. That's democracy.

We want no fees. This is tantamount to being charged to go on the freeway. We're already paying for it. So shared costs with all ratepayers, that might work. But I have myself E6 service, and I have from the beginning. So there is no justification for time of use feedback to my meter because I already have E6. I know when the electricity
and gas are more expensive and when they are not. So you have many customers who have E6, and there's no justification for installing a smart meter. We already have the information we need to make our decisions about what we want to pay.

So for reasons of security, for our safety and health, for our privacy, and for the democratic value that we all hold dear here in innovative California, we urge you to move forward on this issue promptly and eliminate charging for opting out and to enable community -- communities to opt out as a whole.

Thank you for the time. I hope to see you after tomorrow.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Ginny Lee followed by Louise McTernan.

STATEMENT OF MS LEE

MS. LEE: Good afternoon, your Honor. My name is Ginny Lee. And thank you for the opportunity to speak on this most important issue. I represent a community of elders living in a very low income housing complex in Marin County. There are 18 electric and 18 gas meters in our complex. They're
clustered at four locations on the other side of bedroom walls. Because of the potential health risks posed by smart meters, which we now know is very real, we have voiced our objections to PG&E. However, early on some of our residents were threatened by PG&E representatives with having their electricity turned off if they did not allow the installation of smart meters. That's when we decided to speak with one voice as a community.

100 percent of our residents have opted out of smart meters in spite of the unwilling hardship of paying opt-out fees. These fees are burdensome to many of us, some of whom cannot even afford to pay for their medications. We ask with one voice that these punitive opt-out fees be ended and those fees which we already pay to PG&E be refunded to us. No community of persons should have to pay to keep their analog meter for the purpose of protecting their health. We feel these fees were illegal to begin with and have bordered on extortion.

The PUC has virtually ignored us about these fees. And like the godfather has said to PG&E: Let's make them an offer they can't refuse.
(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Louise McTernan followed by Ursula Abee.

STATEMENT OF MS. MC TERNAN

MS. MC TERNAN: Your Honor, I am a cancer survivor with electromagnetic sensitivity in the form of tinnitus, headaches, and muscle cramping.

I implore you to listen carefully today. People's lives including mine are at risk. We all look to you to provide a decision based on your integrity and your compassion.

Where are we as a society if we cannot accommodate our disabled? We willingly accommodate those with visual, hearing, or mobility impairments. We do not tax the individual for the expense of wheelchair ramps. In the case of smart meters the disabled are the electromagnetically sensitive. The tax is the opt out fee. To even have a fee is discrimination. To consider raising it is just corrupt. When will PG&E be stopped from profiting on the disabled or any one who does not want their smart meters?

PG&E knew all along there was wide public outcry around health, safety, privacy,
and security. They forced smart meters on us with more of a push as the protests grew and despite the fact that smart meters were never made mandatory by federal or state law. PG&E tried to disguise their trucks by hiring Wellington and by making installation surreptitiously where there were clear signs of individual and community opposition.

They infiltrated our web site. They knew we wanted to keep our analog meters, but they took the meters and discarded them knowing this and now want to charge us for getting them back.

During your opt-out hearing you forced PG&E to admit their meters did not pulse six times a day as they had claimed but anywhere from 10 to 190,000 times a day at a power of not 1 watt but 2.5 to 4 watts. PG&E continues to state erroneously that a cell phone is stronger than a smart meter. Meanwhile experts conclude that one smart meter is equivalent to ten to a hundred cell phones.

All in all, PG&E has acted unconscionably. Rather than profiting, PG&E should be fined for their repeated fraudulent stances, unethical and illegal behaviors. We need no-fee opt-outs for individuals,
apartment buildings, communities, government buildings, schools, and medical smart meter free zones for people with disabilities to shelter us all from the toxic environment created by smart meters. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Ursula Abee followed by Linda Brauner.

STATEMENT OF MS. ABEE

MS. ABEE: Good afternoon.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay. Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.

MS. ABEE: I am a resident of San Raphael and have been living in my house since 1956. I watched it being built on a lot that we have bought with the understanding that all utilities would be underground, when that did not happen and the City had not told the contractor responsible.

I have witnessed many changes not necessarily improving the environment. At the present PG&E forces and dictates customers to accept a new system that has not thoroughly been researched and besides penalizes us to pay $120 a year for something we never got. It is customary, ladies and
gentlemen, you pay for something you buy and not for something you have not received.

(Applause)

MS. ABEE: That is plain arithmetic and the law of the land. Ladies and gentlemen, the public should not have to pay to the utility companies that reap billions of profit, give millions to their CEOs and have no concern for our environment. We need to stand together and wake up. We should not pay for the mistakes that these highly paid executives of big utility companies have made.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Linda Brauner followed by Amy Hartley.

STATEMENT OF MS. HARTLEY

MS. HARTLEY: Good afternoon. Thank you, your Honor, for being here and for putting in such hard work for so many weeks and for everything you wrote for us. We appreciate it.

There is a strange juxtaposition between the questions we are asked to address today and the reality of our experience over the last few years. First, the responses to the questions that must be addressed. One. Any opt-out option must include
noncommunication, no-cost analog meters.
Vermont's precedent of free opt-out will prevail in California. It is unconscionable
to extort money for safety from any one
including those who cannot afford to pay to have this toxic product removed from their homes.

Two. All opt-out true costs must be born by shareholders and those opting in to the SmartMeter program. Three. Any fees must be on a per-location basis because, for example, tenancy in an apartment building can turn over frequently and create a cash cow simply for PG&E while on the other hand providing nothing that was not in place for new tenants.

Four. There should be no exit fee. Rather, the only fee should be for those opting into the SmartMeter program. Five. The future of energy is sustainable and therefore local. Opt-out must be community wide including apartment buildings, condo associations, governments, cities, schools, counties, and therefore any conglomerate of persons.

In fact, for the reality, we all know there can be no opt-out for none of us have opted into the SmartMeter program. For
For many years I have made my living assessing the probability of dangerous behavior. One of the prime predictors is present and past dangerous violent behavior. PG&E has a long history of corporate violence. We are asking for your protection. Please, do this for us as communities. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Ami Hartley followed by Deborah Tavares.

STATEMENT OF MS. HARTLEY

MS. HARTLEY: Good afternoon, Judge. My name is Ami Hartley, and I'm a San Francisco resident. I've always slept like a baby until several months ago I started experiencing insomnia and darting headaches in 10 second intervals. Did I mention that I don't get headaches. After discussing
various possibilities with my friends, I decided to go check my meters, and lo and behold, there they were, 11 newly installed smart meters. No coincidence here.

I'm concerned about health, safety, and privacy. This is an outrage. The federal government never made smart meters mandatory. Residential customers should have the option to not receive service pursuant to time variant pricing and to incur no additional charges. Analog meters should be included in the opt-out program. People who choose to opt out should not be required to pay increased fees. Customers who elect to have smart meters installed should pay costs of the new meters and installation. All who live within buildings that are in proximity to any populations including persons with disabilities should be mandated to not have smart meters installed in these public facilities including schools and public government buildings.

In Maine the Supreme Court has determined that smart meters are illegal because they never did a health study. In Vermont there is a no-fee opt-out program. Why has a health study never been conducted here in California? We need one here now and
an opt-out program here now. Give us back
our freedom of choice. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. Deborah
Tavares followed by Pat Sworsen.

STATEMENT OF MS. TAVARES

MS. TAVARES: Hi. My name is Deborah
Tavares. I presume, Judge, that you took an
oath of office, and it's in that case. How
do you address the fact that PG&E, San Diego
Gas and Electric, and Southern California
Edison, and other utilities have partnered
with ICLEI. This is a foreign policy, an arm
of the United Nations that completely
subverts all our rights under the
Constitution and our Bill of Rights.

And how are you addressing the
silent weapons, quiet wars policy that was
adopted in the '50s? This policy states in
part, quote:

A silent weapons system
operates upon data collected
from a docile public by
legal but not always lawful
force.

When the government is able to
collect tax, i.e., opt-out fees, and seize
private property, i.e., by smart meters
surveilling and irradiating us, it is an indication that the public is ripe for surrender and is consenting to enslavement and legal encroachment. This is the silent weapons, quiet wars document, and that is a document as well which I will be handing to you as part of the record when I finish.

Something is very, very, wrong. We know that when we accept the intolerable, we wake up to a nation that is enslaved. The utility companies along with our government are engaged in illegal lethal assaults upon us, period. Using microwave frequencies that the military adopted as weapons described in the Fort Meade military documents through a Freedom of Information Act. The [inaudible] document also discusses this as well.

Many people are sick, and you want to discuss opt-out fees. We cannot negotiate our rights, safety and health away. Giving up these things damages us. This is layer upon layer of disinformation, misinformation and secrets.

But concerning the costs, even the I triple E smart grid thought leaders are questioning and asking why politicians and public agencies have not discussed the unknown costs of the grid to the people.
Certainly their concerns would give rise to why the increases are being discussed today. This is cover up to extort more money from us to fund this diabolical assault. Therefore, Phase 2 of these hearings --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Ms. Tavares.

MS. TAVARES: Are you planning to broaden --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.

(Off the record)

MS. TAVARES: There's no record.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: There is a record. We're on the record right now. You may give that to the Public Advisors.

MS. TAVARES: And they're located?

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.

Pat Sworsen followed by Dannean Farris.

STATEMENT OF MS. FARRIS

MS. FARRIS: Your Honor, I represent 101 residents of a low-cost, three-story senior complex in Marin County. May of 2012 most of us collectively agreed to opt out of the SmartMeter program because the meters are clustered in five locations between four buildings. For example, my apartment lies 12 feet between a total of 89 meters. Many of
us have been treated with cancer, have
pacemakers and may have -- the radiation
treatments may have extended my life, but the
effects from the treatments are debilitating.
Certainly I do not need additional EMF and
the RF radiation from a nearby 89 meters.

What I am imploring you is to take
into consideration the additional impact
multiple meters clustered together can have
on human health. We feel assaulted, and the
last latest assault arrived in our bills
warning us that by opting out of the
SmartMeter program fees may rise for all
customers opting out or not.

We opt-outs have already been
penalized by what we feel are illegal fees,
and now PG&E wants to impose fees on every
one. For many of the seniors living in our
complex the opt-out fee was a serious
hardship. Can't we not ask for a moratorium
until all of these issues can be
scientifically resolved and reasonable fiscal
responsibility be implemented. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Dannean Farris
followed by Sangita Moskow.

MS. MOSKOW: Sangita Moskow from -- oh.

STATEMENT OF MS. FARRIS
MS. FARRIS: Good afternoon, your Honor. Early in 2011 I began to experience severe headaches. I also started having ringing in my ears that was so loud it affected my hearing. I began visiting healthcare practitioners who attempted to treat my symptoms. Finally, one of them asked if I had a smart meter on my home. I was living in the cove Apartments in Tiburon at the time. I discovered that smart meters were installed throughout the complex early in 2011. The ones for my building were near my bedroom. I was told by the Cove management that there was nothing I could do about the smart meters. They claimed that the meters were installed without their permission.

I began looking for another place to live. I now live at Rotary Manner in San Rafael where there are no smart meters. My symptoms have dramatically improved. It is vitally important to me and to others in that community that we remain smart meter free. I believe that we should be able to opt out without any cost. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Sangita Moskow
followed by Vicky Sievers.

STATEMENT OF MS. MOSKOW

MS. MOSKOW: I'm Sangita Moskow from San Raphael. I've been going to CPUC meetings for a couple of years now. My story is that I had terrible electric problems in my house once the smart meter was installed, fire coming out one of the outlets, many outlets not working. But then I started to meet people who had health problems. And I went to a meeting with the Marin County supervisors, and 50 of us testified. Every one I think except for one person who was a PG&E employee, every person testified about problems with health, safety, privacy, all the things you've been hearing.

We should not be paying for something that we did not ask for. There's been extremely aggressive behavior of Wellington and these companies that have been coming to install. We have been not asked. I know people who just told them they don't want the meter and they come in and install it any way. We should not be paying for something that we did not ask for and something that is also extremely not cost-effective that we are paying because we are the people who pay for the utility.
Yeah, I think the whole program should be stopped, period, the entire program.

(Applause)

MS. MOSKOW: It's worthless.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Vicki Sievers followed by Allen Horn.

STATEMENT OF MS. SIEVERS

MS. SIEVERS: Good afternoon, your Honor. I'm representing the Maria B. Freitas Senior Community, a 65 unit low income housing facility in North San Rafael.

Residents who wanted to be here today were prevented by illness, distance, scheduling.

Shortly after 57 smart meters were installed in the utility room of her building in 2011 a resident began experiencing headaches, dizziness, nausea, a racing heart beat, anxiety, and difficulty focusing with no apparent explanation. Another became ill also, yet his doctors found no cause.

The woman researched RF radiation at some depth, and when she shared the findings with neighbors, they hired a consultant to measure the emissions to which they were being subjected. Research on the biological effects of RF radiation suggests a safe exposure limit of 1 tenth of a microwatt per square centimeter outdoors and 1 hundredth of
a microwatt per square centimeter indoors.

Measurements taken in the community utility room at Freitas showed pulses at over 100 microwatts per square centimeter. While no one lives in the utility room, people do occupy apartments above it where levels of 5 to 20 microwatts per square centimeter were measured in the bedroom directly above the utility room, a level up to 2,000 times greater than the research determined safe limit. That occupant now sleeps elsewhere.

PG&E's current opt-out provision both forces these low-income seniors to live in harm's way in an untested situation that should never have been approved by a regulatory agency. Many residents are functionally compromised or ill, unable to understand what has happened or to take action.

Any fees, any fees and even the opt-out process itself are punitive deterrents. A resident of an apartment above the meters asks, how can I get away from this? I don't use a cell phone or a microwave. Why am I being forced to live with this radiation? Why indeed. These seniors must have a utility room of safe analog meters, a right to opt out as a whole
community without charge. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Allen Horn followed by Rebecca Heisler.

STATEMENT OF MR. HORN

MR. HORN: I am Allen Horn. Thank you very much, your Honor, for allowing me this opportunity to speak today. I am the owner of Allen Horn Insurance Services, LLC. I have a professional interest in fires and explosions because I insure people against these perils. I am alarmed at the increase in smart meter fires and explosions that have been reported as insurance claims. I suspect that the ignition source of the infamous San Bruno fire and explosion was a smart meter or meters that arced over a gas main leak that ignited the fireball that killed eight people and destroyed over 100 homes.

The old mechanical meters could never explode this way and should be used for all opt-out customers. There should be no charge for opting out because it is extortion. And entire cities and counties and other groupings should be able to opt out entirely.

Dr. John Gofman said, and I quote:

There is no such thing as a
safe level of radiation.

Unquote.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Rebecca Heisler
followed by Talya Strauss.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Who?

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Rebecca Heisler.

STATEMENT OF MS. HEISLER

MS. HEISLER: Thank you, your Honor, for hearing me out. My name is Rebecca Heisler. I'm known in the State of California as what's not let have happen to you. 16 meters were put outside my condo. I knew nothing about RF, EMF before that. I started getting a shooting pain in my head, arrhythmia, pressure in my chest. Five others in my home had the same symptoms. Within six weeks I could no longer live in my home. I had such severe arrhythmia I thought I was having a heart attack. I moved out.

Two months later -- I'm a clinical psychotherapist. Two months later in my office while I'm treating patients, I felt like there was a stabbing spike in my chest about 5 inches wide. I thought that is a heart attack. I saw my patients the rest of that day. I left and I went back to my office.
For two and a half years I have been looking for a safe place to live. I get stabbing pain in my head, in my chest, in my ribs, in my breast. I get nausea. Twice I had symptoms that my cardiologist, and I never had a cardiologist before, said, you might have had a seizer after a very large smart meter exposure.

This is unconscionable. The United States Government has just awarded a friend of mine Social Security Disability for having this syndrome for being injured in this way, which means that the medical establishment and the United States Government are starting to take seriously that this is very real.

I am standing here not just asking to deal with the fee. I need a medically safe buffer zone where I can live. I have run around for two and a half years. I've been isolated in the mountains, an hour and a half to go to the grocery store or a doctor. I am terrified all the time. And as a clinical psychotherapist, I get calls from other people in my shoes who are terrified or suicidal because they cannot cope with static pain. These are doctors, nurses, lawyers, accountants, computer scientists. These are not the flaky. People want to marginalize
this. It's unacceptable. What is happening is unconscionable. People who allow this to happen have a lot of blood on their hands. But your Honor, you have the power to turn this around. I need a safe place to live where I can go back to earning a living and helping people in the healing profession. And hundreds and thousands of people are in my shoes.

   The longer we are exposed to all this, the more people's immune systems get wiped out. I had zero symptoms and knew nothing about this before the onset. Being here alone created a lot of symptoms for me that kind of set me back.

   ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

   MS. HEISLER: Thank you so much for your time and attention.

   (Applause)

   ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Talya Strauss followed by --

   MS. DELANY: Can I say something from Talya Strauss? She couldn't be here.

   ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: No. Jennifer Delaney, you may give what she has written to the Public Advisor's Office. She will get that to me.

   MS. DELANY: Thank you.
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Jennifer Delaney
followed by Sanford Skolnick.

STATEMENT OF MS. DELANEY

MS. DELANEY: My name is Jennifer Delaney. We cannot agree to any opt out other than a total opt out. And you must retain our safe analog meters. We have been socially engineered to believe that propaganda and the media lies. We are also facing the Delphi technique, a tool of psychological warfare by the Rand Institute which has been used to bring countries down. And we are witnessing the Delphi technique here today. It's called a predetermined outcome and deny and delay.

Look at your watch. You are deploying smart meters right now as I speak. This smart meters, smart grid energy scheme hides the evil intentions of greed. When people learn the truth, the resistance will grow. No, this is not over. Many will not comply to obey lawless laws put in place through greed and disregard for human life. In the controller bankers documents they tell us what they are doing to us. Read the silent weapons, quiet wars policy on stop the crime dot net.

In the mission statement about the
revolution and the global infrastructure IBM, Siemens, the Bank of London, the Rothschilds, the World Bank, and the many companies and institutions and the rich men of the earth admit to the hidden secret that they will expose the world to the world. They are replacing traditional physical infrastructure, transportation, transit systems, building, pipes, power, concrete, and steel with cyber infrastructure, computer networks, and sensors in ways that are just emerging. This explains why PG&E, Edison, SDG&E have partnered with ICLEI, the United Nations foreign policy usurping the Constitution of the United States.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Sanford Skolnick followed by Patrick Wrigley.

STATEMENT OF MR. SKOLNICK

MR. SKOLNICK: Good afternoon. Thank you for being here. Your Honor, I'm here for two reasons. The most important, my wife is dealing with a severe form of breast cancer, very aggressive. Only 3 percent of the women have this, and it was only addressed after the smart meters were installed. But I think there's been enough evidence here today how it affects medical. There's a second reason
to prove that the smart meters are generating
a high amount of RF.

I've been involved in electronics
since I was eight years old. That's what I
have done for a living. I have formal
training in it. We have a security system in
our home that is definitely affected. It
causes floodlights to turn on on motion
detectors. This has been documented. PG&E
sent three of their engineers out. They all
agreed that I have done everything possible
to stop it from happening, filters,
capacitors, everything imaginable in the
electronics world. It's obvious that it kept
turning the system on. PG&E agreed. They
even gave me a one-time refund of $107.23 to
account for these lights being on all the
time. Yet they go on and deny that this is
not the truth, that it's not affecting
electronics, and it definitely is.

This has been going on for one year
from 5/12/11 to 4/30/12. There's been
another situation which you're probably aware
of that a person sued for the same reason and
won with the administrative judge from the
PUC $1400. No matter how much PG&E denies
the fact that these units are affecting, they
removed our meter, and it stopped.
And so I submit, your Honor, that, number one, there should be a refund to everyone who has requested opt out for all the fees that they have paid and that there should be no charges to do this any more. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Patrick Wrigley followed by Angela Flynn.

STATEMENT OF MR. WRIGLEY

MR. WRIGLEY: My name is Patrick Wrigley. I was a meter reader with PG&E in the Marin office for nine and a half years before I was fired because I could not be intimidated into being quiet regarding --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can't hear you. Speak up.

MR. WRIGLEY: I was a meter reader for nine and a half years with PG&E in the Marin office before I was illegally fired because I was not intimidated into being quiet with the problems I saw firsthand regarding smart meters' inaccuracy.

(Applause)

MR. WRIGLEY: The fact that PG&E knows that they do catch on fire when they are remotely turned back on when a customer who is delinquent in their bill finally pays
their bill. These meters catch fire. They know it, and they are covering it up.

When I started working at PG&E, the annual budget in meter reading was $70 million for roughly a thousand meter readers. My supervisor, who was my best friend at the time, told me this.

The Public Utility Commission and PG&Es continually lie to the customers saying it is a $2.2 billion system when the Public Utility Commission and PG&E when questioned by a law firm suing them over smart meters admitted it's a $10 billion system. PG&E tells their own employees it is a $10 billion system. That makes this illegal because it is a crime of fraud against the consumers of California.

When you divide the annual cost of meter reading into the $10 billion, this system never, ever, ever comes close to breaking even. You cannot allow PG&E to charge extra for a meter reader to come read a customer's meter when they already do it infinitely cheaper.

I'd also like to point out the fact that no one else in PG&E does surveys for the gas department but the meter readers. Thank you.
(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Angela Flynn
followed by Lois Robin.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sorry. Couldn't hear you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Angela Flynn.

STATEMENT OF MS. FLYNN

MS. FLYNN: Good afternoon. Angela Flynn from Santa Cruz County. I first had a wi-fi router in my bedroom for two years before I realized that I was suffering symptoms from microwave exposure. I couldn't sleep. I had muscle aches. I had creaky joints. I was in my mid-30s. I worked as a landscaper, a very healthy life-style. It took me moving into a house next to a cell tower and getting more severe symptoms to realize what had happened.

I've gone to a lot of work to eliminate microwave transmitters from my home. I can do that to a large degree. However, smart meters are a toxic trespass that I can't keep out of my home. Even though I don't have one in my house, my neighbors have one. It gets on to the wire and it puts transients on to the wiring that gets carried all through everyone's homes. I also work as a house-sitter, and this has
greatly interfered with my ability to make an income because I can't stay in a house with a smart meter.

We need to wake up and accept what's going on. These effects are cumulative. Most people aren't going to feel anything the first time they are around transmitters. It's just like with sunlight. The more exposure you get, the more harm you suffer. If we don't have time away from this exposure, we don't recover and we get worse and worse and worse.

People get cancer from -- I don't know if you noticed, but if you read your manual, they tell you not to put your phone on your body or hold it to your head. There's a reason for that. It's because people are getting cancer from that type of exposure. People are getting brain tumors, breast cancer.

There was a great segment on the TV, San Francisco. I mean great in the sense it was very aware raising. A woman 21 years old kept her cell phone in her bra. She lost her breast to cancer. 21 years old. Another woman, four tumors. We need to stop microwaving ourselves to death.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Lois Robin followed by Lou Tavares.

STATEMENT OF MS. ROBIN

MS. ROBIN: Appreciate your being here to hear our tales of woe and rage. And I have one.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Louder.

MS. ROBIN: Can't hear it?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Closer.

MS. ROBIN: She said 6 inches.

I said that I appreciate your being here to hear our tales of rage and woe, and I have one. Does that work better?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: You're fine. That's better. Perfect.

MS. ROBIN: Okay. Let me know if you can't hear me. Back in the 1970s I was ill, and I went to a Ph.D. biochemist to get some help. He was treating people for allergies. And he said the first thing you must do if you want to regain your health is to get rid of your microwave oven. I complied. I got rid of my microwave. But I learned from him all kinds of things about EMR that I was to -- that was to stay with me for the rest of my life.

He gave me a stack of papers about 8
inches high. And he said these are the scientific reports which you must read to see why I want you to stay away from that microwave oven. In the 1970s they knew things about radiation that they're not acknowledging. Somehow they don't seem to know about it any more. But it was there for people to know about in the 1970s.

Well, I stuck with his philosophy with his understanding throughout the years, and I've avoided almost all kinds of EMR gadgets. And I have become maybe a little antediluvian in doing it, but I've avoided all of them. Then along comes these smart meters, and of course I said I didn't want one, and I kept my analog meter. And I've had to pay the opt-out price, only I haven't paid it.

And PG&E keeps on -- they keep on billing me, and I keep on not paying it. And I'm going to -- and I'm wondering if they're going to turn off my gas and electric, if I'll be taking cold baths. That's about the worst thing I can think of. But I'm not going to be paying those opt-out fees. There is nothing that would make me pay opt-out fees on the basis of the complete unfairness and stupidity of this whole project. And I
feel like --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. Lou Tavares.

MS. ROBIN: Mm-mm?

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Lou Tavares is next.

Your two minutes are up.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: After Mr. Tavares speaks we are going to take a short five-minute break.

STATEMENT OF MR. TAVARES

MR. TAVARES: Good afternoon. My name is Lou Tavares. My question is, how did the power companies and the CPUC ever come to the notion that when a radiation emitting surveillance device is unlawfully installed on someone's home without their knowledge or consent that they may be charged a fee for its removal.

(Applause)

MR. TAVARES: If someone is to be charged for removal, they must be informed of the intention to install and given the choice before installation takes place. To simply install without disclosure and consent causes the power company to be fully and solely liable for all costs of removal. Electronic surveillance is a crime under Federal Title
MR. TAVARES: Also exposing people on private property to cancer-causing radiation is a crime against health, safety, and property rights. This is criminal misconduct. It's absurd to contemplate a fee to be paid to the criminal by the victim for the benefit of ceasing a criminal activity.

The legal and moral compass has spun completely off the standard. The easement is not consent. The easement is for an analog meter, not a radiation-emitting surveillance device. There is no adequate disclosure, and there is no informed consent, and therefore, there is no easement for the violating conduct.

And how can an innocent homeowner who has done nothing more than wish to protect his family from radiation and surveillance be considered an obligated party? You know that something like 8 to 10 percent of the population is electromagnetic sensitive. You know that there will be hacking and abuse with the surveillance data. The lawsuits will come at you like locusts. The time has come to stop this program now.
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: We're going to take a short five-minute break, and we're off the record.

(Recess taken)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: We're back on the record.

Drew Luis.

STATEMENT OF MR. LUIS

MR. LUIS: Good afternoon, Judge. Thank you for being here. My brother was recently diagnosed with a brain tumor on the same side of his head that he uses his cell phone. And his doctor is certain that it is caused by electromagnetic radiation from the cell phone.

I also traveled 130 miles today to come to this event with other people from Santa Cruz. We do not feel that this fee is fair because we have not caused this expense. This expense was caused by mismanagement if not psychopathic criminal actions by members of PG&E breaking laws. One of these laws is Code 3282 B and which states:

No customer shall have to pay separate fees for utilizing services that protect public or customer safety.
So they are breaking the law in this smart meter deployment, and they're charging us these fees.

I'd also like to point out that this is the same energy form, same type of technology that was used by the Soviet Union in 1960 to kill and injure US Government employees in the Moscow US Embassy. That's a documented fact. This is the same technology, same form of energy that was used to make these people sick, and many died. We should not be deploying proven weaponized technology in our communities. And we should not be charged extra unnecessary and punitive fees for protecting our health.

And I'd also like to protest the fact that PG&E is allowed to have a table out back in front of this foyer and other members of our community were told they had to take their free speech literature down. And that is really a violation of our first amendment rights. So it's very obvious that PG&E is doing everything they can to shut down public dissent and have a public forum here. And the fact that this meeting was scheduled in the busiest time of the year is also really kind of obvious to the rest of us that PG&E and does not want to have a free and public
discussion. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Isis Ferel followed by Dr. Sandy Ross.

STATEMENT OF MS. FEREL

MS. FEREL: My name is Isis Ferel. I'm from Oakland. Even after CARE discount the opt-out fees represent a hardship for many of us. Many in my community want to opt out but cannot afford to pay for PG&E's costly errors in judgment. And PG&E seems to be doing some creative accounting here over the cost of opt out and a lot of public spending. First of all, we've already paid for the utility to acquire smart meters whether we want them or not. We should be getting a refund, not charged again for analog meters we've also already paid for.

We have also been paying for meter readers all along. Eliminating their jobs endangers our neighborhoods because they know how to recognize early warning signs of far too common gas leaks like the one in San Bruno. There has never been a special fee for how our energy is measured. And the utility tax that's being applied to the opt-out fees in some cities is a totally
unprecedented application of the tax.

Digital smart meters are aggravating existing disabilities and medical conditions. It's a violation of the ADA to discriminate against people with disabilities by charging them more for essential services. These meters are causing life-threatening house fires, injuries, disabilities, and toxic eviction. Charging people who protect themselves from assault and displacement is a protection racket and against the law.

The meters also mine personal data without permission, which constitutes illegal search and seizure. It's illegal to charge a fee to protect one's constitutional rights. PG&E has a long history of injuring workers, the public, and the environment including lost plutonium, toxic waste dumping, diverting maintenance funds to profits, and on and on and on and on and on.

People have been dying because of these mistakes, and now it's the smart meter fiasco. Why do you keep letting them get away with it? It's time that the CPUC does the job it's supposed to. Prove to us that you are truly a watch dog for the public, not a lap dog of corporate utility CEOs. If you are serving the public, then the justice to
be made in these proceedings is return all analog meters free and on demand, refund all opt-out extortion fees that have been paid already, and pay reparations to all who have been injured, toxically evicted, or otherwise harmed by smart meters. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. Sandy Ross followed by Catharine Gunderson.

STATEMENT OF MS. ROSS

MS. ROSS: I am placing in the public record full copies of my talk and report from the National Institute for Science, Law, and Public Policy, and Assessment of Radiofrequency Microwave Radiation Emissions From Smart Meters by Sage Associates.

My response to Phase 2 questions.

There should be only one opt-out meter, electromechanical analog. At least two smart meter functions give people symptoms, the communicating pulses and dirty electric spikes from the digitization process. Someone wishing to avoid these EMFs must be given an analog meter. All opt-out costs should be allocated to utility stockholders, if unworkable, then to all ratepayers. Any fees should be per location, not per meter. No exit fees. Customers are already paying
for smart meters.

Opt-outs should be extended to local governments, communities, businesses, apartments, and condos. All ratepayers sharing a transformer and opt-out customers need to be opt out also. Otherwise the pulses and dirty electrical spikes will come on the lines from the neighboring smart meters. Opt-outs should be mandated for schools and public buildings so people with symptoms and disabilities related to EMF can safety access them. No matter the various outcomes, no fees or charges should be assessed to any household where a resident has a medical condition or a disability caused by or exacerbated by smart meters. Any fee should be utility-specific because some utilities claim higher costs than others. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Appause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Catharine Gunderson followed by Joshua Hart.

STATEMENT OF MS. GUNDERSON

MS. GUNDERSON: Hello, Honorable Judge Yip-Kikugawa. My name is Cathy Gunderson, and I have come from Santa Cruz today for an all day trip to just speak for two minutes.
I live in a dense housing situation, and I have paid. I have opted out to keep my meter, my analog meter, although I have been affected by the apartment building next door, which they have smart meters for all of them all together 8 feet from my bedroom window. After that I never really thought I was going to have any problems with anything electromagnetic or electronic or whatever, but I do now. And loss of sensitivity in my teeth from clenched jaws and going to dental specialists that are not on my insurance policy. And all this does cost a lot of money. Also plummeting, I hate to say it, focus and memory in the last couple of years just plummeting really fast.

And Dr. Poki Namkung and the public health official in Santa Cruz County was asked by the Santa Cruz Board of Supervisors to do a study of smart meters because we had a moratorium on smart meters, although they didn't enforce it, and she did, and she said that she had a theory, that she hypothesized that some people may be more sensitive to smart meters, or electromagnetic radiation actually is what she said, because of possibly having a higher iron oxide content in their brain. And all this she said was
hypothetical, that she was just guessing.

And then I don't think that we should have to pay opt-out fees for smart meters. We didn't cause it. We didn't cause the problem, but we're like the canaries in the mine where we are like the first one to notice the problem. But the more smart meter grid and applying smart meter, smart grid, smart meter ready appliances that we have, in other words, the more electronic stuff going on, I think you're going to find a lot more people falling out having problems. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. (Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Joshua Hart followed by Charles Copper.

STATEMENT OF MR. HART

MR. HART: Your Honor, members of the public, members of the media, my name is Joshua Hart. I am director of Stop Smart Meters.org. We have been fighting this for two and a half years now. And one thought occurs to me, and that is that PG&E is very smart. They're not wise, but they are smart. And one of the things they did is by offering this opt-out policy, here we are discussing the opt-out policy about particular people,
individual citizens and residents in our society deciding not to have a smart meter. We're not here discussing the benefits or the drawbacks of a smart grid policy or whether it is compatible with human health, the environment, with our privacy laws, with our fire protection laws. We're here discussing who pays for the cost of the opt-out program.

(Applause)

MR. HART: The CPUC says that those responsible for a cost should pay for that cost. And we could not agree more. PG&E has been responsible for healthcare costs, for loss of work, loss of ability to make a living for your family, loss of sleep, pain medication. The cost is incalculable. And we receive a regular drumbeat from people from all over the state who are commenting on these costs. These people, people of California are already paying for this system, and we need those costs reimbursed.

We need a community opt-out. We need communities to be able to say, no, we don't want these smart meters. We need a recall because of the fire safety issue. We've heard so many people say, oh, my meter has exploded. My meter has caught fire. Our garage has burned down. We had to move out.
Two months ago we sent a public information request to the CPUC asking how many people have commented to you with health issues. How many people have submitted comments regarding fire complaints. What were the resolution of those complaints. What investigations are going on. We received nothing even though the deadline for responding to lawyer requests is ten business days. It's been 60 days.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Mr. Hart.

MR. HART: The opt-out charges clearly violate important sections of the Public Utilities Code, and this is critical.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you, Mr. Hart.

MR. HART: Section 328.2 B --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: We're off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.

Charles Cooper or Copper followed by --

MR. COOPER: Cooper.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Cooper.

STATEMENT OF MR. COOPER

MR. COOPER: Cooper. Yes. My name is Charles Cooper. I'm from Sunnyvale, California. This meeting is about cost and
who should bear the cost. I want to share
with you briefly what the cost has been to
me. Within one month of installing a smart
meter I developed symptoms such as twitching
in my muscles, loss of vision and loss of
balance and tingling in my lip. And this
persistently got worse to the point where I
could not stand up in the morning without
falling over. The cost to me has been at
least $5,000 in medical costs. That's what
the cost has been to me.

Now, I'm here among you among the
living, but I'm not living because you have
taken my quality of life. And that's the
bottom line. You can't give that -- nothing
can give that back to me. That is worth --
you know, that's precious.

So when we talk about costs, I think
we need to say that we didn't ask for this.
The CPUC or PG&E just installed this without
our permission, without our consent. And as
such regulators generally consider the
responsible party for instituting such
changes to be responsible for the cost. We
are not responsible for the cost. In fact,
we have paid for our analog meters, and we
have been paying for them and the meter
readers to come by and read them. That is
currently built into cost structure. There should be no cost to opt out. We should be allowed to use our analog meters.

In addition, we should be allowed to opt out on a community basis. And the reason for that is I can opt out my house, but I cannot get my neighbors to opt out if they have to pay over a hundred dollars per year. I can go to them with the medical evidence and convince them otherwise, but they will not budge on the cost.

So please, you know, do your duty and listen to the many people who come up here and ask for medical exemptions. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Elizabeth Sharik followed by Leslie De Taillandier.

STATEMENT OF MS. SHARIK

MS. SHARIK: Good afternoon, your Honor. I lived in Mill Valley for nine years before 22 smart meters were installed without notification August of 2010. My husband and I have college decrees. We're saving 1 percent on behalf of Marin County. I own a profitable business for nine years and attended advanced yoga classes three times a
week.

First year and a half: fatigue, insomnia, pain. Blood pressure dropped to 75 over 55. Doctors are baffled. Next six months: physical breakdown, electricity in my limbs from working computer, ringing in my ears. I discovered the 22 smart meters.
Eventually unable to sleep at all. I moved to my house in Fairfax to escape the smart meters.

Yet my health breaks down further. I feel as though I'm being electrocuted in my bed a few times each night. I never know when it will start or stop. I am finally being tortured. I discover a cell phone tower is hidden on a hill above my house. I close my business. My marriage is worn very thin.

Verizon will soon install an enormous 4,000 cell foot tower on the opposite hill from my house. PG&E is still trying to install digital meters in our house. Having already undergone extensive radiation poisoning, I need to move. I am now, however, too sensitive to live near a digital meter and a cell phone tower or a satellite dish. I cannot go to any public building without increasing pain. I cannot
go to a hospital or visit a friend. I cannot fly or drive on an interstate highway. I may never see my mother again.

Though my rent and utilities are nearly 3,000 a month, I'm part-time homeless. In my prime earning years I'm not working, not providing for others any more, nor spending money, nor paying taxes on money I don't earn.

Scientists have known electrical radiation can kill since Nikola Tesla wrote about it a hundred years ago, and they have known how it can kill since the U.S. Navy report in 1972. Yet utilities keep installing with little regulation, no information, no notification, no permission. I pay twice for my wall and for the use of the electric meter on my wall. The utility company does not own my wall. And they do not own a right to microwave my neighbor slowly stressing everyone's neurological immune systems whether they can feel it or not.

Scientists from eight major countries in Western Europe estimate electromagnetic sensitivity of both kinds will mushroom in the next five years. We are losing jobs, eroding our tax base, ruining
the healthcare system. The people you see
before you today are the tip of a very
expensive iceberg. These smart meters should
be recalled now.

(Appause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Leslie De Taillandier followed by Judy Grote.

STATEMENT OF MS. DE TAILLANDIER

MS. DE TAILLANDIER: Your Honor, I represent Professor Glen Chase, Professor of Management Systems and Economics at UC Santa Cruz. People who opt out have already paid and are currently paying their full share of the complete SmartMeter program including the smart meters, about $300 in all. Yet they are not participating in the SmartMeter program, not even getting a smart meter. The opt-out people are subsidizing the SmartMeter program, not the other way around as PG&E incorrectly presents by not looking at the complete picture. When a person gives a beggar a hundred dollars and asks the beggar for 50 cents to make a phone call, the beggar is not subsidizing the person who made the donation.

And I'd like to add that ratepayers who decided to opt out did so with the purpose of protecting themselves and their
families from harm to their health and safety. Why must we pay a fee to PG&E before we are allowed to protect ourselves and family? This is an injustice. It's cruel.

Vermont has adopted a no-fee opt-out. As Senator Robert Hartwell from Bennington, Vermont, stated in the Huffington Post, quote:

The utilities didn't really care what the ratepayers thought. Since they are the ones who are trying to impose the new system, we think they're the ones who should absorb the cost.

End quote. And PG&E didn't care. The ratepayers shouldn't pay for what are PG&E's mistakes. Tell PG&E shareholders to fund the opt-out fees. Thank you.

(Applause)


STATEMENT OF MS. GROTE
MS. GROTE: Your Honor, my name is Judy Grote, and I come from the rural area of South San Mateo County. About a year ago we had a smart meter put in. And after that time my husband developed ten-day migraine
headaches and for which only a shot could
cure it, and I developed tinnitus. So for
health and business, meaning ROI, and privacy
reasons we decided to put in solar, solar
system, to manage our own electricity and not
have a smart meter because smart meters
currently are not on the solar systems.
Since we have done that, my husband has no
migraine headaches. And of course the
tinnitus doesn't go away. But we are able to
have our electricity when we want it, when we
need it. We have software that monitors it.
So we know for our solar hot water system and
our PV system exactly how much we're using,
and we always stay under what we have put in,
our capacity.

So we see no reason for us to have
smart meters which will be there for solar
within the next two years, and we don't think
that we should have to pay to opt out because
we have already opted out. As for health
reasons, according to PUC Code 453(b) we
can't be made to pay more for a different
meter. That violates that ruling. So I
think we're managing ourselves responsibly,
and I see no reason for us to have pay an opt
out for a smart meter.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Gene Michalak
followed by Eyzatia.

STATEMENT OF MR. MICHALAK

MR. MICHALAK: My name is Gene Michalak. I'm from Santa Cruz, California. I would like to thank you for the opportunity to voice my concern on this important issue. I would also like to thank you for holding this hearing in Santa Rosa. We had a fun bus ride up here, about 30 of us. And if the intent was to dissuade participation, well, if you look at the packed courtroom, you can see that tactic was probably not successful.

MR. MICHALAK: I have chosen to opt out for health, fire safety, and privacy concerns. We the public never were asked, never requested, nor had any opportunity to voice our opinion on the implementation of the smart meter. We were not the cause for the situation that PG&E finds itself in where they feel they have to charge us to opt out. Number one, we have paid for the cost of the analog and the meter readers all along. Number two, we are paying the higher cost rate associated with smart meter rollout. And number three, we are now being asked to
pay a third time to opt out. It's unfair, unjust, and defies common logic.

We ask that all opt-out fees be eliminated, and any one that wants their analog meter be given that opportunity at no cost. And finally, if smart meters are so safe, why have so many PG&E employees opted to keep their analogs? Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Eyzatia followed by Daniel Bullington.

STATEMENT OF EYZATIA

EYZATIA: Hi. My name is Eyzatia. I'm from Mill Valley. On October 30th, 2010, PG&E trespassed on our property, then placed a smart meter on my home that I did not agree to. Two weeks later on November 16th, 2010, at 1:30 in the morning a massive explosion on the power grid and a ball of white light sailed through the air toward my bedroom. PG&E responded that a tree limb caused the massive explosion.

The following are some of the things caused by the smart meters: Computer motherboard data fry, new fridge temperature reached 93 degrees Fahrenheit overnight, electric contact cleaner fry, extreme high
unpredictable bills, my health, nose bleed, heart palpitations, leg cramps, severe noise in ears, nightmares, fear of fires and explosions.

I got new analog fees of $75 and $10 a month for a meter reader. Present time endangerment of safety. Two smart meters located in a secluded wooded area around the corner from where I am. If one of these catches fire, it possibly will set all of Mill Valley and Marin County up in flame. Solution: Ban smart meters.

PS: I notice when the meter was removed, my emotional tone went up thus enabling me to say the technology is an attack on all levels. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Daniel Bullington followed by Joanne Wolfeld.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Say that again.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Bullington.

STATEMENT OF MR. BULLINGTON

MR. BULLINGTON: Been problem hearing a little bit. So I may reiterate what somebody else said. Anyway, mine is a personal observed health issue. First of all, House 1, we lived in a two-story house in Windsor that had not been converted to the smart
meter. We moved May 11, 2011, to Cloverdale, which had a smart meter, faced another house with a smart meter, 15 feet space. Month or so we started complaining about headaches, buzzing, and thought the house must have mold. So we purchased a small mold sampler and sent it to the lab. Came back slightly positive. So told the leasing agent. They sent out a mold inspector, took measurements, etcetera. No mold. With that report concluded, I only figured the smart meter must be creating problems.

Complained to PG&E. They put back one of the old meters, I think analog, I'm not too sure of the terminology. Things improved some. Then we moved May 2012 to House 3. This has the old style meter. The next door has a smart meter outside the bedroom windows. Things are much better in House 3. We paid to opt out with the original fee and monthly fee. We are on CARE, but that's a separate state. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Joanne Wolfeld followed by Dondi Gaskill.

STATEMENT OF MS. WOLFELD
MS. WOLFELD: Thank you. I want to discuss a new report that was published November 26th by the National Institute for Science, Law, and Public Policy and authored by Dr. Timothy Schoechle, an engineering and policy consultant with expertise in smart grid technology. And first of all I wanted to summarize and say it talks about the smart grid funding being misspent on obsolete technologies and not on sustainable energy sources.

Number one, key point: Data to be collected by the smart meters including intermittent personal details of citizens lives is not necessary to the basic purpose of the smart grid. Number two: Federal, state, and local governments have mistakenly believed that the installation of smart meters will somehow lead to reduction in use of fossil fuels, greater electricity efficiency and long-term energy economy benefits for the U.S., and this could not be further from the truth.

Three: Much of the multibillion dollar federal subsidy for smart meters does not benefit ratepayers nor does it support economic growth but primarily benefits meter and meter networking manufacturers while
financially propping up unsustainable investor-owned utilities called IOUs.

(Applause)

MS. WOLFELD: Utilities can charge back their capital investments to ratepayers with a guaranteed 10 to 13 percent rate of return, ROR, on assets by law. Thus investors in utilities gain from the smart meter deployment as they would from any other capital expenditure while there is no clear gain and significant new risk, privacy, security, health, and safety costs to the ratepayer.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

MS. WOLFELD: Can I have a little bit more, please?

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Your time is up.

Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.

Dondi Gaskill followed by Michael Jennings.

STATEMENT OF MS. GASKILL

MS. GASKILL: My name is Dondi Gaskill. And I want to tell you, I have been a software engineer in Silicon Valley for 13 years. I have a master's in computer science. I'm only saying this because I want
you to understand I'm not afraid of
technology. I'm been around EMFs for years.
It's never been a problem. But now with the
smart meters it's a problem for me.

To quickly answer your questions
that you're taxed to answer today. I only
will trust an analog opt-out meter. Who
should pay for it? Well, I've been forced to
pay for the smart meters even though I cannot
use them. So I figure the people who are on
the smart meters should pay for the opt-out
program.

So what fees should be charged? I
believe none. The exit fee, you did not
charge people to install the smart meters,
which would have made sense maybe in the
first place if they wanted them. So I do not
believe in an exit fee.

Should we have community opt out?
Yes. And in reality, if you peel back the
green mask that is hiding all of this, the
truth is there should be no smart meter
program. It is not smart. I'm doing
everything I can do to fight it. And in the
end this is what will count. And I hope
you'll look into your soul and keep the
integrity of your position and help us to
fight this. Thank you.
(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. Michael Jennings followed by Toni Stein.

STATEMENT OF MR. JENNINGS

MR. JENNINGS: Hello. My name is Michael Jennings, and I am a resident of the Sebastopol area of Sonoma County. PG&E's first month's bill toward the charges for me opting out more than doubled my average bill. That is extremely excessive. PG&E's monthly opt-out charges are 25 percent of my average total monthly bill. That is also extremely excessive. These are not what I call reasonable rates.

I have a medical condition where certain environmental conditions can send me to the hospital. These conditions are becoming harder to resolve. Because of this medical condition, I have chosen not to find out how I will react adversely to the emissions of a smart meter. PG&E's attempt to require me to pay higher rates because of my medical condition violate Section 453(b) of the Public Utility Code. PG&E is also violating my rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act. I should not have to pay to protect myself from smart meter radiation.

(Applause)
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Toni Stein followed by Kim Hahn.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What was the name?

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Antoinette Toni Stein.

STATEMENT OF MS. STEIN

MS. STEIN: Hi, your Honor. Thank you very much. I'm Tony Stein, and I have a Ph.D. in environmental engineering, and I have worked for the state for over 8 years and public health for three years and in environmentally preferable purchasing. I'm here as an individual and speaking on my own behalf.

I'd like to ask you to make sure that you do something for our community and our society and bravely stand up for all of us to include the weak, the disabled, and our communities to the best of your abilities. We need to hold responsibility to consciously look out for one another and to use our human decisionmaking abilities to protect us all including children, the elderly who you heard today, the disabled, with utmost respect, dignity, and care.

I myself am disabled. I have MS, a neurological disease, and I am affected by
the smart meter that was installed on my house, and then I was charged to have it removed even though I didn't cause that to occur. And I feel that it's unfair that I should be charged that fee.

The state code that we live in, this wonderful state of choice in California provides us with that saying no customer should have to pay separate fees for utilizing services that protect public or customer safety. And Code 453(b) states that no public utility shall prejudice, disadvantage, or require different rates or deposit amounts from a person because of medical condition.

I and others have medical conditions, and we shouldn't be instilled with those -- we should be protected by those laws that we so magnificently approved. The important thing is that --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you, Ms. Stein.

MS. STEIN: Has established that it's a carcinogen.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: On the record.

Kim Hahn followed by Robert Ernst.
STATEMENT OF MS. HAHN

MS. HAHN: Hello, your Honor. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Kim Hahn. I'm a private citizen from San Raphael. My life changed completely on September 9th, 2010. Within 24 hours of the installation of a gas and an electric smart meter on my home my physical health began a rapid downward descent. Within days I was unable to sleep, to concentrate, or to eat. Within weeks I had developed a sensitivity to all things wireless. I could not tolerate proximity to cell phones, computer routers, cordless phones, digital TV or DVD players. I was not able to stay in my home when the electricity was on nor walk through the streets of my town. A private tutor for the previous 15 years, I now could no longer visit my clients in their own homes or work with them in the libraries. My ability to earn a reasonable income became severely jeopardized.

In the early months since I began to lose town after town, I remained convinced that there were places where I could go to clear my body of radiation. My partner Bob and I drove to more sparsely populated locales hoping to find sanctuary. Smart
meters had not been deployed so much in Sonoma and Mendocino Counties, but that ended as PG&E overtook those places. It soon became apparent that there was indeed no place to run to nor to hide from the devastation of smart meters. So we hired a number of electrical professionals to help us determine to what extent our problem is responsible for my illness and whether we could remedy the problems and stay in our home. We even had lots of help from PG&E, who removed the smart meters, replaced our transformer and rebundled our cables to lessen the EMFs running on our lines.

All six professionals detected a transient running on our house wires, and all six of them were certain that it was not being generated inside my house. In short, the problem was equally present with the electricity disconnected from the power lines as it was when it was connected. The smart meters were sending pulses that travel through the air and catch a ride on whatever conducts frequency, be it cables, power lines, internal house wiring, water, sewers, or gas pipes or houses themselves that act like antennas.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
MS. HAHN: The mesh network has a life of its own.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: On the record.

Robert Ernst followed by Phaedra Lenin.

**STATEMENT OF MR. ERNST**

MR. ERNST: The following is a list of expenditures that my partner Kim and I incurred since smart meters were installed on our house in September of 2010. Please keep in mind that prior to the installation Kim was in perfect health. October [inaudible] prescription $20. Dr. Hull 40. Blood test 141.25. Dr. Hathaway 234. Supplements 376. Blood draw 70. Lab work 400. Mr. Sparrow 50.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harris, electrician, 400. Dr. Hathaway 45.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>Sanctuary Garberville</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>Dr. Cole 120. Dr. [inaudible] 166.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral 43. Dr. Daniels 135. Dr. Daniels 78.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Supplements 89. Aluminum screening</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Dr. Daniels 40. Dr. Cole 120. Supplements 240.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>Dr. [inaudible] 750. Dr. Smith 110. Supplements 481. Dr. Medina 785.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>Lab work 368. Dr. Daniels 180. Dr. Nathan 240. Supplements 262. Mr. Willis technician 280.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
January. Mr. Harris electrician.
Supplements 390.

February. Mr. Madison electric
technician 700. Mr. Madison 370. Dr.
Daniels 40. Mr. Madison 40. Supplements
223. Mr. Madison 450. 300. Madison 300.
Supplements 171. X-ray report 68. Sanctuary
175. Dr. Will 450. Mr. Madison 200.

I know you're going to stop me. So
I'm going to tell you this. I wish you would
stop because this list is only halfway
through, and it goes on and on.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Phaedra Lenin,
L-e-n-i-n, first name P-h-e-a-d-r-a, then
Chandu Vyas, V-y-a-s, followed by Patrick
Roddie.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Whose costs?
Our costs.

STATEMENT OF MR. VYAS

MR. VYAS: Good afternoon. I am Chandu
Vyas from San Raphael. I did not have any
information regarding health issues with the
smart meters in allowing PG&E to install
smart meters in our home outside the bedroom.
Soon after installing the smart meter, I
began getting terrible headaches daily. I
went to Kaiser and had a CT scan and an MRI,
but the results were negative. Then I heard about the smart meter problem and spoke to CPUC meeting. The Commissioner asked PG&E to install an analog meter instead. I received a letter from the PG&E mentioning that the smart meters are harmless to human health. I went to CPS meeting again, and at this time PG&E installed a different type of electric meter instead of an analog meter, and the headaches continued. Finally, when the smart meter opt-out program was approved they installed an analog meter, and my headaches got much better.

I am still living in an environment full of smart meters all around, and it's causing somewhat of a health issue. I have traveled out of country a few times and had no problems with the health or headaches when I was away. I have spent a lot of time and money trying to figure out my health issue in which I can live in an environment without the smart meters. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you very much.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Patrick Roddie followed by Luvi Lui.

STATEMENT OF MR. RODDIE
MR. RODDIE: My name is Patrick Roddie. I'm a resident in San Francisco. I think we're asking the wrong question here.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Can't hear you. Speak up.

MR. RODDIE: Sorry. I'm just saying that I think we're asking the wrong question here. We're talking about opting out when no one ever opted in. I mean silence is not consent. If it was, there would be a lot of people in prison right now, like murderers and rapists and muggers and stuff, they could not use, you know, silence as consent. They're victims. Any way.

We didn't ask to be part of this global monitoring grid. This has been pushed out all over the world. And it's been -- you know, IBM. It's popping up in Australia, all over Europe, and we just don't want it. I think all costs are something that should be born by the people who foisted it on us in the first place, you know, which would be the shareholders of PG&E and other utilities. And they should also be liable for all mental health and the costs of property evaluation. And I expect there will be plenty of [inaudible].

One of the goals stated in the
literature here is tier pricing. And this is actually achieved -- I'm from Northern Ireland. In the 1970s we had this thing called Economy 7. You should Google it. And it was a mechanical meter which was switched over at 7:00 o'clock so you can do the tiered pricing. And this is all -- so I recommend you look it up. So I think, you know, analog meters for all.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Luvi Lui followed by Marilyn Garrett.

STATEMENT OF MS. LUI

MS. LUI: Your Honor, I have been paying the opt-out fee so far, although I don't want to and I'm paying under duress. And I have [inaudible] that they do not want to pay for a smart meter, but however they cannot afford to do so. The way the PG&E charges the opt-out fee also is not uniform and not -- is not uniform. Some got charged earlier, some later. And I also got some San Francisco residents that do not have a smart meter and are not being charged yet. So in a way, I do think PG&E should refund all the opt-out fees collected.

I work for the government and I
consider myself a public employee, and I hope, your Honor, PG&E is funded by taxpayers' money. And I hope you look into the eyes of all of us who are here, and this is all about their life experiences. And I really hope you make a fair and constitutional decision.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Marilyn Garrett followed by Marilla Arguelles.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She has ceded me her time.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: No. There is no ceding time.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let her speak.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: No. I'm sorry. You have had your two minutes.

Marilla Arguelles.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This is our meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Come on. This is a public --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think we are doing due diligence which PG&E didn't do. I think they should be paying us for our testimony today. Thank you.

(Applause)
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. Marilla Arguelles followed by Bernadette Johnston.

STATEMENT OF MS. ARGUELLES

MS. ARGUELLES: Good afternoon. I've been caring 24/7 for our adult son for 25 years, 35 years since he was disabled by fires that crossed the blood/brain barrier. This is something that happened also with radiation. His progress has been slow but he has far outreached his prognosis.

I have testified at numerous CPU hearings because I was alarmed to hear that 20 smart meters were installed on the other side of the bedroom wall in the housing coop where we have lived for 30 years. Max is much more vulnerable than most people because due to the severity of his condition, he never received a skull plate on the right hemisphere of his brain, which has already been compromised. His care is expensive, and we spend 200 to $300 a month on supplements alone.

The opt-out employee from PG&E who was assigned to call us originally assured me by phone our co-op, 20 smart meters would be replaced free of charge if they received confirmation from the members individually by phone. It was my task to contact all the
members. Most of them were sympathetic. All but one co-op member agreed to have their meters removed provided there was no fee. Unfortunately, there is a fee. So Max still lives next to 18 smart meters.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Bernadette Johnston followed by Julie Ostoich.

MS. GARRETT: I'm Marilyn Garrett. I thought you called my name.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: I'm sorry. Yes, Ms. Garrett.

MS. GARRETT: Is it my turn?

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: There was someone else who came up before you. Go ahead.

STATEMENT OF MS. GARRETT

MS. GARRETT: Thank you. Why Our World is Electropolluted is an article by Helke Ferrie. And he states, if something is invented by the military and used by the military, you know it's effective and lethal. And industrial capitalists have a way of repackaging these gismos as beneficial, but their lethal properties remain. Hence, out of World War II radar came the telecommunications industry. And what you are hearing today are symptoms of radiation
poisoning that are well documented. This proceeding does not address, unfortunately, fundamental issues of multiple health, surveillance, fire, human, and democratic rights violations, the fact that wireless is microwave radiation weaponry.

I refer you to Barry Trower, retired British military microwave warfare expert. When he realized that power densities and frequencies similar to those used as weapons during the Cold War are used for wireless technologies, he decided to come out of retirement to explain the problems. He states that microwave or radiowave sickness was first reported in 1932 when the symptoms of extreme tiredness, fatigue, fitful sleep, headaches, basically what you're hearing today. These are symptoms of radiation poisoning. They're well documented. He said the reasons why during the Cold War extended his military education into the full diversity of stealth microwave warfare and communication systems. In so doing he learned a list of approximately -- I get tremors from all the microwaves in here too.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Your time is up, Ms. Garrett.

MS. GARRETT: 30 post frequencies.
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you very much.

    Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: On the record.

Bernadette Johnston followed by Julie Ostoich.

STATEMENT OF MS. JOHNSTON

MS. JOHNSTON: Good afternoon. I traveled here from Sacramento because this was the closest meeting that I could attend.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Speak a little louder.

MS. JOHNSTON: Five questions were posed that are being considered in this opt-out proceeding. And for the sake of expediency I basically want to echo that most of my beliefs are in position statements that have already been entered into the record. So I'd like to move on to something else.

PG&E has recklessly approved, facilitated or allowed and contrived to approve, mandate, facilitate or allow smart meter rollouts after being presented with reliable research and endurable evidence proving the detrimental health effects of smart meters. EMR exposure should be reduced now rather than waiting for proof of harm.

PG&E is violating bounds on human
experimentation. PG&E did not properly inform me or any other California residents that we would be subjects of a statewide experiment on the health effects of smart meters. PG&E did not properly obtain mine or others' consent to this experiment. PG&E has failed to implement proper controls over the experiment. They have failed to follow scientific methods or reports. PG&E has never followed up or kept records of the adverse health effects that have been reported. When presented with evidence of harm, PG&E ignored, dismissed, discredited, or denied it.

PG&E is liable for their defective product, and I am an unwilling user and purchaser of this product. PG&E is acting with malice, fraud and oppression. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Julie Ostoich, and then after Ms. Ostoich we are going to take a five-minute break.

STATEMENT OF MS. OSTOICH

MS. OSTOICH: Hello. Thank you for this opportunity. My name is Julie Ostoich, and I have been speaking at Sacramento
Municipal Utility District board meetings for the past six months about smart meters. I live in Sacramento, and I'm faced with both the PG&E and SMUD meters. So the opt-out fee is doubled for me. In addition, the SMUD board's opt-out fees are much more extreme than PG&E's. So it's a dual battle.

My major concern is the pulse radiofrequency microwave bursts that are numbering 13,000 plus a day. The FCC approval, largely based on industry taxpayer funded study, and the effects of thermal radiation, don't look at the real issue, which is the RF.

I've been suffering ill effects from these meters. I have the headaches, ringing in the ears, tinnitus, extreme sleep deprivation, bruxism, muscle cramps, heart arrhythmias, and more since the rollout of these smart meters in my neighborhood by SMUD and PG&E. My experience is not unique as you're hearing today. Thousands of people report the same symptoms and worse.

Still the financially focused utilities continue to ignore these studies and these symptoms. And also there have been studies that, as mentioned also already, back this up. So I'm here saying that I want an
analog meter returned so my health can improve and that it's wrong to charge people for trying to protect themselves from harm and ill effects. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay. Thank you.

(Appause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.

(Recess taken)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: We're on the record.

Eric Windheim.

STATEMENT OF MR. WINDHEIM

MR. WINDHEIM: Okay. Thank you. My name is Eric Windheim, and I'm from Sacramento. So I've got both SMUD and PG&E. But Judge, I want to ask you a specific question. I know you're a human being. I know you have utilities. But when PG&E told me two years ago that the smart meter only transmitted three times per day, did you believe that? Yeah, I know you can't respond. But I knew something was wrong because I already did all the research, and I found out it goes off three times a minute at least.

And up in SMUD territory I pounded on them for two months on the table, and I said, you're wrong, you're wrong, you're wrong, because last November PG&E had to
confess it was over 9,000. How did you feel when you found out it was over 9,000, you as a person? You don't have to answer. I want you to just think about that as you go home. All of us have the same God-given guts, and we know we've been lied to and deceived. And it's only our own self-control that keeps us from rewarding PG&E as they deserve.

Now, I want to talk about this meter that's in search of a name. You have the smart meters, and you have the so-called smart meter digital radio off nontransmitting, and now the name has morphed to noncommunicating. My goodness, this is a meter with an identity crisis. Okay.

But I think what they want to do is they want to get away from the word "transmitting" because there's another big ugly secret that kills people and stops people's hearts, and that's because all these, quote, nontransmitting video meters have switching mode power supplies. They produce fast electrical transients and high frequency voltage transients. They stop hearts. They start fires. They overheat wires. And they send arcs and showering arcs through the air. And this is what I'm working on at SMUD right now. You know this.
The engineers know this. And the people of California need to be protected from this wicked device. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Nancy Benet followed by Jolie Andritzakis.

STATEMENT OF MS. BENET

MS. BENET: Your Honor, the PUC has not listened to us.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Louder.

MS. BENET: The PUC has not listened to us. The PUC has not listened to us. And we're hoping that you will and report what's more reasonable. I mean to say, we won't destroy your brain if you pay us extra. They're already destroying brain parts. Now, I'm a concert pianist. I need that brain.

Do you know that about a year ago there were 26 cities and counties that passed moratoriums to not have these things, but they've ignored us. This is really frightening. And I think the cities and the counties should be paid attention to. This is an enormous error against mankind, these machines, these instruments. So we shouldn't have to pay. We should not have to pay to not have these harmful things. Thank
goodness I was at home when they came to install one. So I stopped it. But across the street they went.

Please, Judge, I want to say the name, Yip-Kikugawa because I love it, tell them not to charge us and to get rid of those things. They've made a terrible error.

Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Julie Andritzakis followed by Sudi Skull.

STATEMENT OF MS. ANDRITZAKIS

MS. ANDRITZAKIS: Thank you, your Honor. I first found out that I was electrically sensitive when I was diagnosed by a neurologist in 2002. My diagnosis was toxic encephalopathy. That's heating of the brain. I lost my job in my new incorporated business. Now, to protect myself from smart meters I had to beg my neighbors and landlord to opt out for my survival. It was very degrading. If they had not helped, I would now be homeless like many I know.

The CPUC should not charge those who do not want a smart meter. The opt out should be only for noncommunicating mechanical analog meters, no digital meters.
Neighborhoods, communities and cities should be allowed to opt out. There should be opt-out zones around sick people. There should be no exit fees for people opting out.

A recent PG&E insert states EMR could be dangerous according to the World Health Organization in 2007 and ways to mitigate it, but they did not mention that the World Health Organization in 2012 declared that smart meters are a 2B carcinogen like lead and asbestos.

Electromagnetic radiation over a long time leads to migraines in 3 percent of the population 15 years ago, according to the CPUC, before cell tower, cell phones, and wireless. Why would we want to endanger our entire population? All of my tests showed a drop in function except my willingness to take chances like when I'm drunk. Could heated brains and a higher willingness to take chances be one of the causes for the upswing in youth violence? Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Sudi Skull followed by Luise Stanphill.

STATEMENT OF MS. SKULL

MS. SKULL: Your Honor, much is at
stake here. Recently I saw the film Lincoln. Lincoln was for the people whether their skin was a different color or they were sick and destitute. This country was founded on the principle of freedom and all people are created equal. Our government is here to ensure that our constitutional laws are upheld and that all the people of the United States are protected. We the people matter, even those of us who are sick and disabled from smart meters.

PG&E's third quarter earnings showed its net income almost doubled to $384 million. The San Francisco Chronicle states PG&E may have benefitted from a rate increase on customer bills. Since smart meters I am now a sick, disabled person with hardly any ability to work, and yet I've had to pay $975 for opt-out fees for myself and my neighbors in order to live marginally in my house. I turn the electric circuit-breakers off at night, use minimal lights, and my refrigerator is turned off much of the time. And still I suffer from debilitating insomnia, tinnitus, migraines, nausea, and muscle cramps. I need a medical smart meter-free zone, a buffer zone around my house so that I can comfortably live just
like I did before smart meters were deployed.

It's no secret that microwave radiation is harmful to life. Here is a health report from the U.S. Navy in 1970 documenting the effects from nonionizing radiation. There are six pages of symptoms.

I have radiation symptoms, sickness. Winifred Thomas does too. She has now had to buy an RV and is living isolated on a undisclosed piece of land with no electricity, no telephone, and not much heat. She has had to spend $10,000 in the last year just trying to stay alive.

I trust in your judicial integrity, please, to give us a no-fee opt-out. Vermont has already set the precedent in a medical smart meter free-zone and community-wide opt-out. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. Louise Stanphill followed by Jenny Miller.

STATEMENT OF MS. STANPHILL

MS. STANPHILL: Thank you. I'm Louise Stanphill from Santa Rosa. For three years I have been hypersensitive to EMFs. I spend my days trying to avoid pain. I have to try to stay away from cell phones, cell towers, all things wireless, and smart meters. Impossible. My small business is in ruins.
I cannot travel, and I cannot participate in many normal activities of daily life. I cannot even sit in my own kitchen without experiencing searing ear pain, nausea, and headaches that I know is caused by the constant smart meter emissions coming through the walls of our home. I know this is fact because of my RF meter readings. And when I turn off all electricity at the box, I still cannot sit in my kitchen without painful symptoms.

PG&E continues to deceive and manipulate the public by diverting attention away from the biologically dangerous 24/7 pulsed RF emissions from smart meters to debating opt-out fees when ratepayers were never given the option to opt in in the first place. Opt-out fees are punitive, illegal, and in clear violation of California Public Utilities Code Section 453. And now we are being taxed on opt-out fees in Santa Rosa 5 percent when opt-out proceedings never disclosed these would be taxed. These taxes have nothing to do with usage and are illegal under Utility Code Section 453.

PG&E continues to change the rules and twist the knife on innocent people suffering from health impacts from smart
meters, smart meter emissions. Individual opt-out is an almost useless bone thrown to us by PG&E while we are choked with mesh network chatter and no business opt-out. For health and public safety community opt out is imperative, and opt-out fees and taxes must be reimbursed.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Jenny Miller followed by Garril Page.

STATEMENT OF MS. MILLER

MS. MILLER: Hello, your Honor. I'm here to speak on behalf of democracy. And there are actually, the number of cities and counties in California has gone up. It's not 26 now. It's actually 57 have either -- some of them have completely banned smart meters, and a lot of them have expressed some form of opposition to smart meters. This has not stopped PG&E from going right ahead and installing them everywhere. And as I understand it, it's considered that the CPUC supersedes the rule of local governments. And when I was in school I was taught that we have a system of democracy where we elect officials to make decisions about our government. I don't recall voting for the
And another way that smart meters are violating the law is that they are not approved by Underwriters Laboratories, which these devices are required to be approved by. And the reason obviously that they're not approved is that they cause fires and explosions, and right here in Santa Rosa where there was an explosion and fire in the mall here.

The smart meter program actually has a precedent in my opinion. And that is in Germany about 80 years ago they had a program to get rid of people who were considered unworthy of life. It was called life unworthy of life. And the disabled, people who are unable to work, were sent to extermination camps. That was the prior to the extermination program for the Jews. They practiced first on the disabled people. I feel like the way people now in this society are being treated who have an impairment and disability, they are just being told, you have life unworthy of life. And I --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay.

MS. MILLER: I just want to say that I don't think the world is going to end tomorrow, but I think technology has --
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: On the record.

Garril Page followed by Amy O'Hair.

STATEMENT OF MS. PAGE

MS. PAGE: It is factually correct to say that a smart meter can kill me and many others in this room. Yet until opt-outs the utility claimed the right to enter our properties to install these devices. So yes, I support opt-outs for multiunit businesses, commercial areas, communities, and other entities to choose the freedom for nontransmitting analog meters.

Should there be an added fee to allow me quiet enjoyment of my property, a fee to enable me to freely and safely access areas I wish to visit or patronize? No, there should not.

Once smart meters were recognized as a new revenue source, the utilities and associates rushed to them without doing adequate research and development. In order to expand utility infrastructure and reap profits guaranteed by the CPUC, the laser focus was on rapid deployment of these data spewing energy hogs that adversely affect public health and safety.
Corporate stockholders -- oh, sorry. Sorry. Where was I? Corporate stockholders own the fiscal burden of this flawed program, not ratepayers. After being sworn at, repeatedly overbilled, and suffering from property damage from PG&E, my experience is that the CPUC staff is overworked and under-compensated. Staff turnover interferes with complaint resolution. The CPUC leadership is utility compliant and propped up by political connections. We must look elsewhere for help.

Judge Yip-Kikugawa, we pray that your proposed decision will protect our consumer and civil rights, will rule with clarity that there is not and never has been a legal mandate to force smart meters or their costs on an unwilling public. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
(Applause)
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Amy O'Hair followed by Colleen Fernald.

STATEMENT OF MS. O'HAIR

MS. O'HAIR: Good afternoon, your Honor. My name is Amy O'Hair. I live in San Francisco. I'm a housewife and a mother. I would like to urge you to consider the hurdle
that the opt-out fees may pose to parents who would otherwise wish to protect their children from the radiating pulses from the smart meter on their home. The American Academy of Pediatrics is not really a fringe radical activist organization, but recently they endorsed Representative Kucinich's cell phone radiation legislation in the House, currently pending in the House of Representatives. In their letter of endorsement they state, and I quote:

Children are disproportionately affected by environmental exposures including cell phone RF radiation. The differences in bone density and the amount of fluid in the child's brain compared to the adult's brain could allow children to absorb greater quantities of RF energy. It is essential that any new standards for cell phones or other wireless devices be based on protecting the youngest and most vulnerable populations.
to ensure that they are safeguarded through their lifetimes.

I have been inside many homes where the electricity meter is located on the other side of the bedroom wall, the child's bedroom wall. With expensive equipment I have measured the pulse of the smart meter transmitter through the wall. These peak exposures are not trivial. The industry method of assessing it erases the truth in the measurements.

Any parent wishing to protect his or her child from such exposures, daily and chronic in the case of bedroom walls, should not have to face opt-out fees that could prohibit them from doing so. I speak as a parent, but I could easily speak as the adult child of aging parents with reduced incomes who are not qualifying for care who might be unable to surmount those opt-out fees. I urge you to suspend the opt-out fees. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Appause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Colleen Fernald followed by Maria Cain.

STATEMENT OF MS. FERNALD
MS. FERNALD: Good afternoon, your Honor. My name is Colleen Fernald. I live in Sebastopol. And I'm very lucky that my elected officials have taken positive action to keep us safe there asking for a moratorium for the smart meters. And also we're very lucky to have really engaged community members like Eddie Maurer the EMF Safety Network. You know that the head of the PG&E SmartMeter program was caught spying on our community group.

So I'd like to see -- first of all, I want to say that I concur with every piece of testimony that this well educated, articulate, thoughtful public has brought before you. And I want to remind you that you work for me and all of Californians, and your integrity is on the line with this decision. And the California Public Utilities Commission works for Californians, not for PG&E.

By a show of hands, how many of you trust the California Public Utilities Commission to make an honest and honorable, accurate assessment of the PG&E smart meter fiasco?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Nobody.

MS. FERNALD: Show your hands. Who
thinks that they can be trusted?

All right. We have the power. So we can work with Jerry Brown to have the members of the PUC who are acting in complicit illegal activities of PG&E. Why can't we trust PG&E? Well, we could start with the movie Erin Brockovich. And if they want to have corporate personhood, Citizens United, they need to have the responsibility.

So the fines for San Bruno were not adequate. It's involuntary manslaughter. And it's not thousands of people's health that is harmed. It's hundreds of millions. California could set the precedent to end that risk, not only the risk to human health and our pets but the wildlife. Who has been speaking for the wildlife here, the bees? So it's time we take back our power and we have smart, sensible power that is not a risk to our health, human health, our security, our privacy. Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Maya Cain followed by Shelly Masters.

STATEMENT OF MS. CAIN

MS. CAIN: Hello. Maya Cain from San Francisco.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Speak louder.
MS. CAIN: Maya Cain from San Francisco. I am not at all prepared. I haven't had anyplace to sit down and concentrate on writing such a wonderful speech as this incredible group of people. I agree with all of them.

Mainly I want to say that PG&E will tell you that the frequencies from the smart meters are far too low to cause any health problems, but in fact the truth is, if you look at Cindy Sagea's paper, it's called Public Implications of Wireless Technologies, on page 5, paragraph 2, it says, the lower the frequency, the more health effects. It's a semantic game. There's so much of PG&E's hype, and none of the truth.

I have been to the CPUC meetings many times in San Francisco. They actually told me not to come back. They've heard from me. They know my story. In fact, I'm here to tell you the latest thing is that they took the smart meter out, but it left me electrically sensitive. And now two months ago I woke up with half of my face was numb, and I had to get a new car. And it took like 20 minutes. I'm waiting for five days. I now have to do that very often because this is a cumulative condition. Okay. It's a
slowly cumulative thing. Originally I was a little bit dizzy. In three months I was staggering. And I had a little bit of memory problems. And then I couldn't speak because I couldn't remember common words.

We are begging you. The federal government never mandated these things. And the CPUC's job description is to protect the people from this so-called public utility.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Shelly Masters followed by Margie Sorbi.

STATEMENT OF MS. MASTERS

MS. MASTERS: Thank you, your Honor. I appreciate being able to go on the record. For the past two years I have been having fatigue and depression. I felt like I had a broken heart and I couldn't get enough oxygen. I am a painting contractor and an artist, and I always had a very physical strong body and a strong job. I sought medical help. I spent thousands of dollars trying to figure out what was wrong with me.

I developed a raised red area on the side of my head and my shoulder. My left eye started to droop, and I started to lose my hearing in my left ear. The welt burned and
felt like I had been -- acid had been poured on me. And my heart started racing when I would go to bed at night. And I started to get bloody noses in March.

The way I found out it was the smart meter was there was a late night program on, and this woman called from Arizona to talk to the man who was interviewing. And she gave the list of microwave sickness. They were all of my symptoms. I had no idea. I was happy to have the meter. I'm not a person who is afraid of technology. But I moved to sleeping in my living room on the floor, and within about a week my depression lifted and my fatigue came -- my fatigue went away.

And PG&E, I called them and kindly they came and removed it. But my ears now ring all the time. And when I'm around certain kinds of electrical fields I have a heart racing, my skin itches, and I feel sick to my stomach. I have a very hard time doing my job, and my quality of life has disappeared. I am afraid, and I don't know what to do. We're all electromagnetically sensitive. And the truth will eventually come out about how dangerous this technology is. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Margie Sorbi followed by Peter Alexander.

STATEMENT OF MS. SORBI

MS. SORBI: Thank you, Judge. How can any one not notice the thousands of new regulations that have been forced upon us, I say forced upon us, in just the past two years, and thousands more are in the works. Smart meters are only a part of many such moves for gaining control of us under the UN Agenda 21 plan, all under guise of global warming or now called climate change. The name was changed because the globe wasn't warming fast enough to justify that lie. Yes. Manmade climate change is a lie.

We received threats of losing our electrical power if we refused smart meters. There was a time when our more principled leaders in government protected us from such threats, as is their job. Now they are backing the wrong side even though each and every public official has sworn an oath to uphold our Constitution.

I have a new amendment here that I wanted to read regarding that. It is violating the 10th Amendment, which leaves to the state whatever is not mentioned in the
Constitution. I'm having trouble seeing this. Let me get my glasses out. Hope it doesn't waste up all my time. Okay. The 10th Amendment leaves to the states whatever is not mentioned in the Constitution. They are not mandatory. The Energy Police Act of 2005 does not mandate smart meters.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

MS. SORBI: It does not mandate smart meters. It only mandates utilities to offshore them.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Ms. Sorbi, your time is up.

Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.

Peter Alexander.

STATEMENT OF MR. ALEXANDER

MR. ALEXANDER: Good afternoon. What a crowd. Reminds me of the town folk in Clint Eastwood's High Plains Drifter. If the people here knew, yes, if they comprehended the definite purpose of this agenda, all payments immediately would be suspended. For who in their right mind would voluntarily pay for their own abuse.

Observing a populace apathetic to their own self-preservation, hardly obtuse.
We have been conditioned to pay for our own demise as the system and agenda provides false forums, propaganda, and alibis. And the concerned people behind me hold the cards, all the power, but seem not to know it as they clap, as they cower. To know their power, they would not be here asking. They would have had thousands ceasing paying their bills, thereby we be tasking, till every poison meter be removed, don't you know, and PG&E fired their lawyers and CEO.

National Security General Michael Keno and George Bush, Senior, the FAL for masters of hell. 40 years of satellite subliminal, subsonic, subservient messaging done their job well. What's worse than smart meters or shootings in school be the complete manipulation of citizens as fools. They've refused to free almighty Daniels, Manny Jamal or Leonard Palfear [phonetic] but here to grovel amidst this charade rather selfish with fear.

We've all earned our own desserts. This much be true. No one escapes speaking to all of you. Soon enough the Golden Gate ablaze, yes, glowing afire. The Bay Bridge into the bay resulting from greed's desire. I am that I am and I am here, truthfully
speaking free of all fear. For under Peter we're given the key. [Inaudible] took the world to its knees. And it speaks to the 40-day general strike to freedom in the promised land. The authority must be claimed, and the time be at hand. Let us employ, yes, let us embrace the power of ten with a smile on our face.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

MR. ALEXANDER: Contact ten friends and contact ten --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.

Karen Obal followed by Toril Jelter.

MS. OBAL: Thank you for being here.

MR. ALEXANDER: Smart meters going 24/7.

MS. OBAL: Listening to our anxiety.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: I'm sorry. Let's go off the record for a minute.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.

STATEMENT OF MS. OBAL

MS. OBAL: My name is Karin Obal. Thank you for giving us this opportunity. You heard the angst and the pain in people's lives because of the smart meter issue and
how difficult it is to pay for that kind of problem.

On 11:55 June 3rd of this year there was a double explosion at my mother's house. It gutted the garage. Four generations of photography in our family and our family archives have perished. It's a great loss to me. But I'm concerned in this neighborhood. We were given a letter that they were going to put smart meters in, and we all ran out to look at our meters, and they had already been installed. There was no opportunity to opt out.

I'm requesting the PG&E to replace their meters which failed, their smart meters which failed fairly catastrophically with the more robust analog meters that were on this house from 1952 on. No problems with them ever.

I'm really glad that Ms. O'Hair brought up the youth because the cost of the youth in having these kind of problems and our national product going forward, it's very upsetting what the projection can be.

In this neighborhood 40 percent of the people with the smart meters have them on the master bedrooms on the wall where the head is. If another one blew, there could be
a fatality. It could be very, very painful. And I don't think we should have to pay for that. I think if their machines fail, we should be able to have analog without extra cost. And that hasn't been presented as an option. And please listen to me on this and support California.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Toril Jelter followed by Kim Gelzzard.

STATEMENT OF MS. JELTER

MS. JELTER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak with you today. My name is Toril Jelter. I'm a board certified pediatrician and general practitioner with over 30 years of experience. I practice in Walnut Creek, California. For the past ten years I have had a special interest in environmental factors contributing to the autism epidemic in this country.

I'm here today because I have personally and professionally been profoundly affected by smart meters and because I wish to advocate for America's children. I have never had a smart meter on my home because I sent certified letters to PUC and PG&E
requesting a deferral. Still when my
neighbors got smart meters I developed severe
tinnitus, fatigue, and neuropathy at home and
at work. Eventually I had to leave my home
and close my private practice and move to a
low EMR rural area. After six months away
and thousands of dollars spent on EMR
remediation of our home, I was able return,
but I'm still unable to sleep in our home,
nor am I able to be in a medical clinic long
enough to have a sustainable practice. I
have lost my home and livelihood to smart
meters.

More important than what has
happened to me is what has happened to
countless children across America. I have
reviewed the EMF literature, and I have
reviewed the autism literature. There's a
huge overlap. I have found 50 problems that
children with autism encounter and the
problems that EMR has caused.

Let me give you an example. This
five year old boy with autism came to me for
sleep problems. He hadn't slept for a whole
year. We decreased his EMR exposure. Within
a week he could sleep fine without any
melatonin supplementation. We know that EMR
decreases melatonin in the body. That's the
hormone that allows us to sleep.

Another thing that happened was that his cognitive ability increased by two years in two months after decreasing his radiation exposure. A ten-year-old boy with nonverbal autism and aggressive behaviors came to me, and within -- we did a two-week EMF remediation trial. Within three days he was able to have a full sentence.

A country that doesn't protect their children has no future. Please partner with us to give our children a future and thereby give all America and its people a future. Thank you for your time.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Kim Gelzzard followed by Orlean Koehle.

STATEMENT OF MS. GELZZARD

MS. GELZZARD: Good evening. Good evening. My name is Kim Gelzzard, and I appreciate being here. To begin with, I concur with everything that's been said today. I appreciate that PG&E has offered the option to allow its customers to keep their analog or return to analog meters. PG&E needs to go further by continuing this policy indefinitely and eliminating any fees
associated with this choice.

Since the public did not even request or have a choice about opting into the installation of smart meters and are the victims of the smart meters associated risk it is unacceptable that the ratepayers should be expected to pay for retention of analog meters. And as there has not been adequate vetting of the health and safety effects of smart meters, there needs to be a moratorium on further use of or recall of smart meters and their installation and ongoing fee options of return to a continued use of analog meters until there has been the conduction of adequate long-term double-blind health studies. Ideally PG&E should unilaterally reinstall analog meters on all California residents until smart meters have been proven safe. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Orlean Koehle followed by Marybeth Brangan.

STATEMENT OF MS. KOEHLER

MS. KOEHLER: Hello. My name is Orlean Koehle, and I want to thank you for coming to Santa Rosa. Always we've had to go to San Francisco. So we really appreciate your
being here.

I am the state president for Eagle Forum of California and also the Sonoma County president. Eagle Forum is one of the groups that first was -- we were protesting against all of this two years ago. We're still protesting. And in the official document I guess we were known as a protestant because of this protesting.

And because of what I have been finding out I have written a book called *Just Say No to Big Brother's Smart Meters*. It includes all of the information I have discovered for the past two years sticking out before the CPUC and hearing all of the amazing testimony of others.

Fortunately, I am not EMF sensitive, but I am big brother sensitive. And that's why I became interested in this. I think this is an absolute amazing affront on all of us by government, by big brother. And this is not what we ever expected in a free country.

I'd just like to let you know. Some of the things that I've written about, the numerous health issues, no scientific testing, smart meters are not UL certified, risk of testing, risk of fires, make the
meters located on the required homes, collecting information and data from all those other homes. Smart meters also have higher rates. There's problems in accuracy. 43,000 cases in Northern California was revealed when a lady from PG&E had to speak before the Senate. Smart meters are heating up and charging higher costs.

The Department of Energy stated purpose of the smart grid, as we heard from Margie, was to reduce carbon emissions and greenhouse gases, but really it's about changing our behavior and rationing energy. Smart meters are surveillance devices that are violating federal and state wiretapping laws, and smart meters are unconstitutional. Thank you. And I'd like to submit this to you, and I discuss it on here.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: You may give it to the Public Advisors in back.

MS. KOEHLE: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Marybeth Brangan followed by Heidi Rose.

MS. BRANGAN: Your Honor, I'm not going to speak.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: All right. Heidi Rose and then followed by Siri Scull.
STATEMENT OF MS. ROSE

MS. ROSE: Good evening, your Honor. The smart meter program is nothing short of a debacle and a crime against humanity attacking our health, democracy, and our most basic civil rights. In the more than two and a half years that I have been working on this issue I have met countless individuals whose lives have been uprooted, destroyed, and in some instances ended as a result of this criminal infrastructure. I have encountered people whose homes have burned. I have met people living like refugees forced to live out of their cars or in tents, unable to live in the homes that they own. They have been gravely injured by smart meters.

To charge people a fee so that their homes don't burn down is extortion. To charge people a fee so that their children don't get headaches and nose bleeds is extortion. To charge people a fee so that they might somehow lessen their exposure to a well known Class 2B carcinogen is extortion. And I say lessen exposure because in the mesh network no one is spared exposure to this deadly microwave radiation.

Even if we opt out, smart meters are ubiquitous. 190,000 pulses -- sorry --
190,000 pulses per meter per day on our neighbors' homes, on our schools, our churches, everywhere we live, work and play. Furthermore, in violation of FCC rulings the meters are collocated in banks of a hundred or more. Smart meters are a dangerous, untested technology that violates FCC guidelines and are not even UL certified. To say the product is defective and should be recalled is an understatement.

The only opt-out to consider is a statewide opt-out, a return to safe, reliable analogs at no cost to the consumer. As we have already been charged for a program we didn't want, any costs must come from shareholders or better yet deducted from salaries. Any fees charged to the ratepayers are extortionate, discriminatory, and therefore illegal. Banning a total recall, individuals and communities must have a no-fee opt out. Thank you very much.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Siri Scull followed by Matt Magurie.

STATEMENT OF MS. SCULL

MS. SCULL: Hello. I have taken the day off today with loss of pay and driven up
from San Francisco because I believe this issue to be of grave importance. I am fortunate to have been able to opt out of the smart meter on my home, but many people can't afford the fees and are still affected by surrounding smart meters. Is it democratic to put a price tag on something that is showing to have serious health implications? I don't think so.

In physics we know that everything is made up of energy waves. Even our bodies appearing solid are built out of millions and billions of molecules which are composed of energy waves at their core. Now, what happens when we drastically alter the energy waves that surround us with smart meters that produce EMF waves ten to a hundred times stronger than cell phones? Think about it. It doesn't take a physician to realize that those invisible waves of such high frequency are undeniably powerful. The fact is that there has been no long-term study conducted. What we do know is that sensitive people have already been experiencing insomnia, headaches, heart disturbances, and on and on and on. There are too many people with these symptoms to be a coincidence.

The State of Maine has already ruled
that they need a long-term health study
before they allow them, and Vermont is
offering a no-fee opt-out. Let's join Maine
and Vermont and be on the forefront of this
issue rather than looking back and knowing
that we could have done something after it's
too late.

I ask you to seriously consider and
absorb all that you have been presented with
today from everybody who has been sick and
harmed by the smart meters and for those who
are concerned with other issues as well.
Please do the right thing. At the very least
rule for a no-fee opt-out and a smart
meter-free zone for those who are especially
sensitive. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Matt Magurie
followed by Susan Garert.

STATEMENT OF MR. MAGURIE

MR. MAGURIE: Thank you, your Honor.
My name is Matt Magurie. And I spent eight
years on the Petaluma City Council. So I
know something about outreach to the
community over controversial issues. And
frankly, I have to say, nothing personal, but
the CPUC should be very ashamed of itself.
For people to have to drive from Santa Cruz and San Mateo for a two-minute speaking slot is just wrong. The CPUC needs to have more hearings and needs to allow at least three minutes.

Now, I am just outraged that the CPUC originally allowed PG&E to eliminate all those meter reader jobs which were paid for under the regulated rate structure and worked so well under this current economy. That is an outrage. Those were covered under the rates. And if regulation of rates is not a sham, then that should have just stayed there working fine.

I agree with all the comments that have been made. We never were really given a chance to, you know, participate in the decisionmaking on this project. You can't opt out if you didn't opt in. And you can't opt in if you're forced into a program.

Now, one of the issues I have is that we may opt out. I still have the old analog. I'm not going to let them take that away from me. I've got solar on the house. The fact that the $10 a month fee on electricity would more than double my annual electrical cost. But one of the issues is that even if I never get a meter, my wife has
some precarious health issues, and my next
door neighbor’s meter is 25 feet from our
bed. Is it just coincidence that we have
seen a downturn in her well-being since it
has been deployed? I don't think so,
frankly.

Furthermore, PG&E is tasked with
maintaining these systems to proper optimum
usage so that they don't create worse
problems than the ones we have seen and heard
and we know are amply documented today and in
other documentation. No, we don't trust
them. We don't trust them.

What about -- we paid them to
maintain the high power lines in the
mountains that caused those huge fires in the
Sierra like eight or ten years ago. We paid
them --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
MR. MAGURIE: -- to maintain the pipes
in San Bruno.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.
(Off the record)
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: On the record.
Susan Garert followed by Karen
Hudson.

STATEMENT OF MS. GARERT

MS. GARERT: Your Honor. Can you hear
me? Your Honor.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: On the record.

MS. GARERT: Susan Garert, Santa Rosa.

I am outraged. I am protesting the smart
meter installation rollout program entirely
and the so-called opt-out fees.

PG&E states that they believe in
choice when it comes to the meter at your
home after the fact after they deployed
millions of smart meters without offering
customers options, let alone knowledge of the
installation. I have addressed these
concerns in a letter to the CPUC, a letter of
complaint to the CPUC. In response I got a
form letter that did not answer any of my
complaints, any of my questions. Among them
if they have the right to charge for smart
meters based on Public Utility Code 328(b).

No customer should pay for separate fees for
utilizing services that protect public or
customer safety. I'm questioning why federal
laws are not being adhered to as the federal
government never made these meters mandatory.

I could rattle off energy, but you
know what they are. Energy Policy Act of
2005, Title 7, Subtitle E, Section 252 A
regarding customers requesting time-based rate with a time-based meter capable of enabling the utility and customer to offer and receive such rate as well as CPUC Code 745(d). Residential customers have the option to not receive service pursuant to time variant pricing in Section 4.

I'm questioning the safety of these meters. PG&E erroneously equates the EMF of smart meters to that of microwaves and cell phones. Those aren't mandatory. People don't have to have microwaves and cell phones. And they're not on 24 hours seven days a week. How can they even -- I mean. They write that, and they sent that to every one? God. We're really not that stupid. But it's all happening any way.

I'm questioning the security of these --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

MS. GARERT: -- meters in terms of the fire hazard potential. And thank you for listening to our comments today.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Karen Hudson followed by Steve Hogle.

STATEMENT OF MS. HUDSON
MS. HUDSON: My name is Karen Hudson, and I'm from Rohnert Park. My name is Karen Hudson, and I'm from Rohnert Park. I'm a cancer survivor and a retired teacher. In Sweden electrohypersensitivity is documented as a debilitating illness that is referred to as poisoning our systems. In Europe experts fear that by 2017 50 percent of the European population will be electrosensitive, hypersensitive.

The American Academy of Environmental Medicine recognizes that the way to treat EHS is to reduce EMF exposure. The deployment of smart meters is not reducing it. It's increasing it.

In my neighborhood most of the people do not have smart meters. However, five houses do. My neighbor has a smart meter on the house next to her, and when it was put in she no -- since then she can no longer work in her kitchen at her kitchen counter or do dishes. She has moved all of that into the other part, and her husband has to take care of it.

I've become sensitive myself. I get horrible headaches. I cannot walk my dog in the neighborhood around us any longer because I get headaches. My ear bothers me. I get
nauseous.

An installer asked my other neighbor's son who is 17 years old if they could install a meter on the house because there was no sign up, I assume it was that, on their home. And when my neighbor called PG&E, PG&E said that they would have to pay them to replace the meter that they didn't want originally put on their house after a 17 year old was given the data. We should not be charged for an opt-out. I would like a ban of smart meters. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Steve Hogle followed by Gertrude Gebin. Mr. Hogle.

(No response)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay. Gertrude Gebin, G-e-b-i-n, followed by Mary Campbell.

STATEMENT OF MS. GEBIN

MS. GEBIN: Good evening, your Honor. In October 2009 PG&E removed the analog meters from my home and replaced them with smart meters. The meters they removed were apparently destroyed before I learned about the adverse health effects that I experienced. I opted to have the analog meters reinstalled because the smart meters
caused me severe negative health effects and symptoms. And having the analog is not a luxury. It's a necessity for my well-being. I had to pay a fee to bring back analogs. And I continue to pay -- and I continue to pay monthly fees. I should not or any one else have to pay the fees because we did not create the problem. PG&E, CPUC did. And shareholders, not us, should pay the opt-out cost.

The State of California Public Utility Code 328(b) states:

No customers should have to pay separate fees for utilizing services that protect public or customer safety.

Did CPUC, PG&E, did they ignore this at all? The fees are unethical and unconscionable. I or anyone should not have to bear the burden for the PG&E/CPUC smart meter boondoggle. I'm not totally free from the symptoms associated with the smart meters because my -- because my neighbors on either side of my house still have smart meters, and one of the them is like 15 feet away from my bedroom. And I can't sleep in my bedroom every night. So I have to sleep in the
living room every now and then, like maybe every other night.

So the State of Vermont, their opt-out should take place in California so that my neighbors could take, you know, could have their smart meters taken out. Better yet, smart meters should be eliminated because they are most deleterious to community health and safety. Thank you very much.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Mary Campbell, and then after Ms. Campbell we're going to take a break.

STATEMENT OF MS. CAMPBELL

MS. CAMPBELL: My name is Mary Campbell from San Rafael. And this is just a personal story. I first refused the smart meter but was told by the gentleman going around installing them that it would cost me a lot more if I did this after they left the neighborhood. So I caved. And then I am a widow, very limited income.

And I was working in my garage for a period of two weeks. I had some repairmen out there. And I started getting headaches, severe headaches, which I have never, ever
hardly ever had headaches in my life. So I put two and two together, and I requested to have the smart meter removed, which it was, and I haven't had that problem since. I have had periodic arrhythmias about a half a dozen times and since that smart meter episode. And I don't know whether that's to do with it. I had my heart tested, and it was negative. I was told I was negative.

So I have to pay to have, you know, the opt out fee. And being a widow on a limited income, I don't think that's fair. I don't think it's fair to others as well as me. And I would ask you to discontinue that. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: We're going to take a five-minute break.

Off the record.

(Recess taken)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Let's go on the record.

And Diane Nickel followed by Constance Barker.

STATEMENT OF MS. NICKEL

MS. NICKEL: Hello, your Honor. I urge you to rule to socialize the cost of the
smart meter opt-out program so that people
who opt out get the same as every one else.
My reasons for this, because our society
often socializes costs that are important for
public health such as antismoking ads and
water treatment.

There is strong evidence that
radiofrequency radiation at low levels causes
physiological problems. The symptoms that
people are discussing here today have been
reported in numerous studies including a
study by Dr. Goldsmith, who held 11
professorships and was the World Health
Organization for Europe. He studied a
problem that happened in the Russian Embassy
-- U.S. Russian -- U.S. Embassy in Russia
where they were being microwaved by the
Russians in the '60s. And Dr. Goldsmith
published that there are multiple
neurological impairments including insomnia,
depression, anxiety, leukemias, cancers were
being caused by radiation that are at similar
levels to what these smart meters emit. .02
to .05 microwatts per centimeter squared Dr.
Goldsmith reported in his peer-reviewed
study. .02 to .05, that's less than .1.
The California Council of Science
Technology reported in their -- reported on
smart meters that the study -- they state that if an individual were standing directly in front of next to the meter, there would be 8.8 microwatts per centimeter squared. The smart meter is transmitting 2 to 4 percent of the time. So that's about a hundred times more than the level that was found at the embassy that caused these disorders.

Your Honor, if I may go off the record, please, very quickly.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay. Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: On the record.

Constance Barker followed by Callee Maher.

STATEMENT OF MS. BARKER

MS. BARKER: Thank you, your Honor. My name is Constance Barker. I'm president of the Environmental Health Network in California.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can't hear you.

MS. BARKER: We are a 30-plus-year-old Bay Area nonprofit providing support and advocacy for the chemically and electrically injured. We are a 30-plus-year-old Bay Area nonprofit providing support and advocacy for the chemically and electrically injured,
which is to say, I represent a whole lot of people who pay the externalized costs for other people's profit making.

And I just want to say because nobody else has said it that the very many people who have come up here and identified themselves as electrically sensitive, each and every one of those people paid a cost to be here today. They paid a cost in their own health that they will continue paying for days, weeks, or sometimes even months afterwards to be here. And I just want to personally thank every one who came here today and was willing to pay that cost because I know what that cost is.

(Applause)

MS. BARKER: And on behalf of our many members and friends not only in this state but across the country and across the world, I want to offer three answers to the questions you put out here today. First, with regard to meters, analog meters are all that will help us. And if there's not enough of those to go around because somebody made a decision to get rid of a bunch of them, that's on the people who made that decision, not on the real people who need them.

As regards fees when you're trying
to live on like an $800 a month or SSI check or a little bit more than that in Social Security Disability, even $5 a month is too much to be asked to pay for this kind of thing. It's unconscionable to do that to poor people or to anybody who is just trying to protect their health, their privacy and their safety from something somebody else did.

Third, ADA responsibilities, you know, access responsibilities mean nothing if there's not an opt-out for local governments, communities, businesses, apartments and condos. And it ought to be mandated too.

Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Sandy Maurer followed by Greg Crouse.

Okay. Greg Crouse.

STATEMENT OF MR. CROUSE

MR. CROUSE: Hi. My name is Greg Crouse. I'm from Mendocino County. I am a -- I do radio shows on topics. I'm also an organizer for reduced smart meters in Mendocino County. And we have got quite a few petitions together, and we did a process in which we had a resolution in our county to
hold back on the smart meters. And of course we were ridden over by the CPUC.

So what I wanted to address with you with all this is that I feel that the CPUC has totally ridden over our democracy. And the reason why I say that is 57 cities and counties have put together resolutions. Lots of people have gone to meetings and put together proposals. And the CPUC just sits on them. And we can't sue because to sue is -- this is a utility process. We have to go through the process of waiting for the CPUC to make a decision.

Well, the frustrating part about this is that the CPUC is run by a president who was a executive in the power companies. Now, what kind of regulations could we have here when the president of the Commission is actually someone basically in the pocket of the whole process?

So I say that the problem here is, I talked to my colleagues in my county, my friends, and they're not willing to come down here because, you know why? Their voice has been lost. They talked to their supervisors. Their supervisors voted five to zero for this resolution, and nothing happens. What's with that?
So then the other thing I want to address is because I'm from a rural community, a lot of people self-read their meters. They can't self-read their meters anymore because PG&E says they can't do that. When I talked to the Department of Ratepayer Advocates, they said, well, they're not very accurate. That doesn't make any sense. Why would they let them continue to read their meters when they're inaccurate. And in fact, they're more accurate than the meter readers.

And the last thing I want to say is the shield report. There were so many errors in Bakersfield that the shield report isn't accurate. What did we pay for and why did we pay for it? Why did the companies that made the meters pay to check the accuracy of the meters when in fact Senator Florez wanted the smart meters to be looked at.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
MR. CROUSE: Why wasn't it looked at?
Thank you.
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Pauline Phillips followed by Bridget Breese.

STATEMENT OF MS. PHILLIPS
MS. PHILLIPS: I lost my home in
2008/2009 in San Mateo County because I couldn't work and couldn't pay the mortgage and I lost my home. I left, went up to Mendocino County where there would be no cell towers up there, but I did get the right people. I have heavy metal poisoning, and it's environmental. I have lead poisoning, mercury from the cheeks, you name it. We are working on it to get it out, and I'm better. I'm living in my -- I bought another house. They tried to put another meter on. My gate was locked. Thank God. And my neighbors -- they came back, all had mercury or had the teeth been removed, the fillings removed.

This is heavy metal. It's environmental. It's never going to work. That's my thing. Because you got to -- 1 in 6 people in the United States, World Health Organization, had lead poisoning. That's just to start. You got heavy metal poisoning. Work on it, find out about it, go on line. It will help you. Still can't use a smart meter. My neighbors have it. At my house had to have it grounded because it wasn't grounded. It was only 3 feet. You have to have an 8 foot one. There's a lot of that around. You're putting this technology on an antiquated electrical system that
doesn't -- can't handle it. There's a lot of things that need to be looked at. NETGEAR goes a hundred feet. I have NETGEAR in my -- 50 feet from my neighbor. I've got NETGEAR and 2Wire in my living room. And I'm electrically sensitive.

I operate the house. I only have the refrigerator running. Okay. I've lost my home and my life savings. And I get to be on medical and get to pay for me. So where are the costs going to stop? This isn't going to work. It's environmental. The main leading line is lead. You've all got it. Then you've got mercury, cadmium, thallium, and it's in the water. I have reports.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

MS. PHILLIPS: I know I'm off the record.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.

Bridget Breese.

STATEMENT OF MS. BREESE

MS. BREESE: Thank you for the chance to speak. I appreciate being here tonight. I recognize and thank everybody who spoke today and second everybody who spoke today. Very brave people. Thank you.
ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Dave Hubert followed by James Bennet.

STATEMENT OF MR. HUBERT

MR. HUBERT: Hi. I'm Dave Hubert. I'm from Sebastopol. Very happy to be from a place that cares about our health and has sent the mayor to speak out against smart meters and for moratorium and deferment. My background is in research and statistics, and I do understand the studies being done prior to something starting. Usually there are pilot studies, different factors are looked at, costs and benefits whenever a new technology is used.

Unfortunately, the costs are -- PG&E knew about the cost to the consumer, knew about their cost. The benefits, however, are for PG&E. The benefits don't do a whole lot, as many studies have shown, for the consumer. And when a cost comes up that is not known about such as radical changes in health, 3 percent of the population -- the initial studies were not about wireless meters. 3 percent of the population is electrosensitive. So when that's a million Californians. 3 percent of 40 million Californians is about -- is over a
A million could feel something like this.

These are not okay. These costs -- we should not be charged to have to opt out. The meters should be thrown out the window. If they knew that there would be a million people affected, this would not be brought up by the CPUC or PG&E. I don't think PG&E knew what it was doing. I've heard different stories from different people that said, you know, sure, they don't want to lose money, but they don't want to hurt all the consumers in California is my personal belief. So I think something needs to be done starting with no cost opt-out, communities being allowed to opt out such as Sebastopol. Thank you.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: James Bennet followed by Mike Dunlap.

STATEMENT OF MR. BENNET

MR. BENNET: James Bennet, Sonoma County. As our instincts have told us and our research will confirm, it shames me to say that we find ourself in the midst of an enormous oppression. It is UN Agenda 21 sustainable development, and it very much pertains to the subject matter that we are
here today for. Its local implementation NGO was ICLEI, International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives. This NGO was tasked with implementing the action plan that is Agenda 21 on a local level.

PG&E is a documented stakeholder and a public private partner in this globalist oppression. Smart meters are an enormous part of a municipal framework that provides for our containment, our surveillance, where our actions, our consumptions, and our limited mobility are actually reduced to a commodity to be granted by and reconciled through the state.

Now, the fact that the amount of physical ailments that come from these smart meters could fill two pages in small print is not a secret. Documents have been uncovered that lead us to believe that our government, who is complicit in this oppression, is more than mindful of it. And this chapter should be about our all redefining what us and them really means. It's not about rich or poor or left or right. I would suggest that as hard as it is we try not to reside in fear, which is the frequency of oppression.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

MR. BENNET: And to stand up for the
highest version of ourselves that we can.
And we stand in dissent.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Off the record.
(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.
Mr. Dunlop.
(No response)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Nancy Hubert. That will be followed by James Haig.

STATEMENT OF MS. HUBERT

MS. HUBERT: Hi. Partially I'd just like to say that I'm in agreement with pretty much everything that's been said here today and have experienced it myself. And like most here I believe no one should have to pay to have something removed they never wanted in the first place. Also John Eder, who is one of the city council members in Sebastopol was planning on being here and couldn't make it because of illness today. So he called and asked that I convey what he has to say.

First of all, that he stands with our Sebastopol mayor and our council members who spoke today at the beginning of the meeting and that he thinks the entire City of Sebastopol should be able to opt out as a community. And of course by -- of course not just Sebastopol should be able to opt out.
Those were his words. And at a minimum there be a no-cost opt-out and there be shielding for apartment dwellers.

So I really think what we need to talk about are community-wide opt outs. And in fact, I think we should just scrap the whole program. It's just hurting too many people.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you. James Haig followed by Daina Davis.

(No response)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Is Daina Davis here? John Mock. And he will be followed by Alex Stadtner.

STATEMENT OF MR. MOCK

MR. MOCK: I'm John Mock of Sebastopol with something completely different. I'm here to talk about alternatives to the current smart meter program which I hope will work well with apartments. I speak as a computer professional who has had the exact e-mail address since 1969 and watched Stanford make its first network connection to MIT. I've dealt with amateur radio licenses for 20 years, and I am required by the FCC to be knowledgeable about power lines. I do hardware design as well as networking and
systems programming. This is a wide link project, and I brought the development along to give you an idea of the size and cost of such equipment.

Most people who are sensitive to radio emissions don't use wi-fi and probably use a direct connection to the net most likely via ethernet. This can be done with shielded cable producing no measurable emissions. My proposal is simple, to offer a smart meter with an optional internet port. A card like this is all that it takes to do the job. It just plugs in. It costs about $4. The connector is about 1. So let's offer a smart meter which includes an optional internet port.

If a smart meter detects internet while the cable is plugged in it sends reports via the internet rather than by radio. The smart meter radio is then only used as a backup. A board like this from -- the internet -- I'm sorry. No RF emissions for those who are sensitive. And the power company will still get its reports without meaningful delay. But wait. We could have an even smarter meter that could function for emergency communication if it has, for example, a battery backup or a plug for an
external battery.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Alex Stadtner followed by Kathleen Emery. S-t-a-d-t-n-e-r.

(No response)


(No response)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: April Hurley. Dr. Hurley?

(No response)


(No response)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Linda Berg.

STATEMENT OF MS. BERG

MS. BERG: My name is Linda Berg from Sebastopol. And I want to thank you all for being here and doing --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Speak up.

MS. BERG: I want to thank the audience, the public here for doing what it has done to bring this issue to become an issue because what it is of course is corporate governmental tyranny. And I thank you for organizing. We need to continue to organize. We need to speak up more. We need
to network ourselves.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Excuse me.

Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: On the record.

And you may finish, but please remember that these are comments that are for the Commission. This is not a forum for you to be inciting the audience or directing the audience.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: We are the public. We're already incited.

MS. BERG: It's only because there's been no meaningful conversation with the public community -- the Public Utilities Commission, and I'm addressing the public. The public needs to, as other people have said, take back their power. You need to speak up. Thanks to some of you and many of you, I don't have a smart meter in my 60 unit apartment complex because you in Sebastopol have already refused to --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We have a large audience. Can you speak to the camera.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sit down.

MS. BERG: Well, I don't want to turn my back to the audience because, you know, because it is all about you and us.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

MS. BERG: I encourage you to write letters to the papers and to go on radio and to continue being active against this. We need to take back our power. Thank you for being here.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Cheryl Case followed by Berry Gordon. Cheryl Case? Berry Gordon? Gala Gordon?

(No response)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Patricia Dines.

STATEMENT OF MS. DINES

MS. DINES: Hello there, Judge. Thank you very much for coming today. I feel like there are so many people who have been suffering and crying out to be heard. I'm really grateful for this chance to speak to you today. I really hope you'll take to heart the things that are being said. I know there are many other people who feel these things.

At this time I'd like to make these key points. Firsts of all, I object to any fee being charged to choose meter type either as a set up fee or an ongoing fee. Just to ask the question how to pay the opt-out program is to lead to certain answers. I
don't think it's the right question. I think what we pay now already pays for analog meters and meter readers. That is already being paid for. The new kids on the block are the smart meters. Therefore, it's the people who want the smart meters who should be paying for this new technology starting with PG&E. If they don't see the benefit of paying for the technology, they shouldn't install it. I don't want the technology. I shouldn't have to pay.

   If business wants smart meters, then they can opt in with informed consent on the risks of the technology. It's astonishing to me that this technology was implemented. PG&E is a monopoly. It has a special responsibility to protect our safety and not force us to be exposed to dangerous experimental technology. True choice requires no fees. And the barrier of fees is not true choice.

   I'm in a special situation where I've got my neighbors' meters on the wall. I pay an opt-out fee. They can't afford an opt-out fee. So I think we also have to have provision that if one person in an area opts out, everyone else can opt out for no additional fee. I think the real solution is
we need to have a moratorium on installation of these smart meters, perhaps even pulling them back until they have been proven to be safe. If we don't do that then we at least need to have the ability to opt -- if we don't do that we should opt in, have an opt-in program where people choose if they want to be exposed. If we don't do that, we need to have an opt-out program without fees. And there needs to be a provision for groups of people to opt out with only one fee or one person to opt out and the neighbors get to opt out at an additional fee, and for communities to opt out. Right now we feel powerless because we are not given choice. Democracy requires choice, and monopoly requires choice. Thank you very much.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.

(Applause)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Lynne Sayler. Lynne Sayler.

(No response)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Then that is end of the people who have signed up to comment. I want to thank all of you for coming up here. I know many of you made the trip up here from far away. And I do appreciate that you did take the time to come
KAREN: I was under the impression that I was the last speaker.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Are you Ms. Sayler?

KAREN: I didn't hear.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: I'm sorry. Why don't you come up.

KAREN: I was told by the lady at the desk that I was No. 100.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Please, since I don't have your name on here you will need to give your name and also spell your last name.

STATEMENT OF KAREN

KAREN: My name is Karen. I came from Santa Cruz today. And since the smart meters have been installed in my apartment complex --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Speak into the mic, please.

KAREN: Since the smart meters have been installed in my apartment complex, which is a complex of 13 buildings, each building having 26 meters on the end of each building. And so I experienced health problems, racing heart that wakes me up in the middle of the night with my heart pounding so high that it literally jarred me awake. I have from time to time constant and persistent low grade
headaches. [Inaudible] and I don't like having my mind including having to have my mind challenged by headaches. I've never been a person that had headaches before. That's very uncomfortable to me.

I've been talking to students at the college that I go to, and they are very concerned about the G4, you know, new towers and the antennas. And that's impacting our health as well. And they're connecting the towers and the antennas for the smart meters.

I have listened to different professors speak about the way PG&E has managed businesses before mentioning that one of the nuclear power plants was retrofitted in the opposite way it was supposed to have been retrofitted. So everything went the other way because the contractors did not know how to read the blueprints correctly. I think that's very serious.

Daniel Hirsch and Arnie Gundersen talked about the nuclear power plants and the way PG&E has managed those. And I recently got part of my bill saying that they were going to be increasing the fees $1.282 billion and that part of that was going to be $200 million for the power plants.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you.
KAREN: Yeah. So other students at my school, back to this.

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: All right. No.

KAREN: They're having problems understanding --

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Thank you very much.

Off the record.

(Off the record)

ALJ YIP-KIKUGAWA: Back on the record.

Again, thank you very much every one for coming. I appreciate your sitting here.

This hearing is adjourned, and we are off the record.

(Whereupon, at the hour of 5:53 p.m., this public participation hearing was concluded.)
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